

Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans As of February 2, 2015

This file consists of the 16 prioritizations of all recommended water management strategies in the 2011 regional water plans, as developed and submitted by the regional water planning groups September 1, 2014 and updated to reflect subsequent plan amendments. Note that these 16 regional water plan prioritizations are based on the Uniform Standards that were developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee (Stakeholder).* (**adopted by SHC 11/14/2013 at 3pm and approved by TWDB 12/5/2013*)

The HB4 Stakeholder Committee reconvened January 13, 2015. The Stakeholders agreed for the 2016 regional water planning group prioritizations (due December 1, 2015) not to make any changes to the Uniform Standards and to use the current Uniform Standards to complete the 2016 regional water plan prioritization.

A project's prioritization by the regional water planning group is one of the criteria that TWDB will use to rank projects seeking SWIFT funding.

Please note that each WMS prioritization score is based on a maximum of 1,000 possible points.

Acronyms

Region	Acronym	Key
A	CRMWA	Canadian River Municipal Water Authority
B	None	None
C	DWU	Dallas Water Utilities
C	GTUA	Greater Texoma Utility Authority
C	NTMWD	North Texas Municipal Water District
C	TRA	Trinity River Authority
C	TRWD	Tarrant Regional Water District
C	UTRWD	Upper Trinity Regional Water District
D	None	None
E	EPWU	El Paso Water Utility
E	LVWD	Lower Valley Water District
F	None	None
G	BRA	Brazos River Authority
H	BRA	Brazos River Authority
H	CHCRWA	Central Harris County Regional Water Authority
H	CLCND	Chambers-Liberty Counties Navigation District
H	GCWA	Gulf Coast Water Authority
H	LNVA	Lower Neches Valley Authority
H	MUD	Municipal Utility District
H	NCWA	North Channel Water Authority
H	NFBWA	North Fort Bend Water Authority
H	NHCRWA	North Harris County Regional Water Authority
H	SJRA	San Jacinto River Authority
H	TRA	Trinity River Authority
H	WCID	Water Control and Improvement District
H	WHCRWA	West Harris County Regional Water Authority
I	None	None
J	UGRA	Upper Guadalupe River Authority
K	LCRA	Lower Colorado River Authority
K	SAWS	San Antonio Water System
L	CRWA	Canyon Regional Water Authority
L	GBRA	Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority
L	LCRA	Lower Colorado River Authority
L	LNRA	Lavaca Navidad River Authority
L	SAWS	San Antonio Water Supply
L	SSLGC	Schertz-Seguin Local Government Corporation
L	TWA	Texas Water Alliance
M	None	None
N	None	None
O	CRMWA	Canadian River Municipal Water Authority
O	WRMWD	White River Municipal Water District
P	None	None

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION A**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	CACTUS	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 10,893,400	940.00
2	IRRIGATION, HANSFORD	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	918.33
3	DUMAS	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 7,997,200	898.33
4	IRRIGATION, MOORE	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	889.76
5	IRRIGATION, HUTCHINSON	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	886.43
6	IRRIGATION, SHERMAN	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	884.41
7	CANADIAN RIVER MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	CRMWA Roberts County well field	\$ 21,824,000	880.00
8	MANUFACTURING, MOORE	Voluntary transfers from other users	\$ -	878.33
9	CANYON	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 9,528,800	875.83
10	IRRIGATION, DALLAM	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	870.04
11	BORGER	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 9,379,200	860.00
12	IRRIGATION, HARTLEY	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	842.04
13	SPEARMAN	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 3,862,000	800.00
14	IRRIGATION, HUTCHINSON	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	797.26
15	COUNTY-OTHER, POTTER	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 8,559,400	794.33
16	IRRIGATION, ARMSTRONG	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
17	IRRIGATION, CARSON	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
18	IRRIGATION, CHILDRESS	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
19	IRRIGATION, COLLINGSWORTH	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
20	IRRIGATION, DONLEY	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
21	IRRIGATION, GRAY	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
22	IRRIGATION, HALL	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
23	IRRIGATION, HEMPHILL	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
24	IRRIGATION, LIPSCOMB	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
25	IRRIGATION, OCHILTREE	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
26	IRRIGATION, OLDHAM	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
27	IRRIGATION, POTTER	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
28	IRRIGATION, RANDALL	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
29	IRRIGATION, ROBERTS	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
30	IRRIGATION, WHEELER	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	793.33
31	IRRIGATION, ARMSTRONG	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
32	IRRIGATION, CARSON	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
33	IRRIGATION, DONLEY	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
34	IRRIGATION, GRAY	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
35	IRRIGATION, POTTER	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
36	IRRIGATION, ROBERTS	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
37	IRRIGATION, WHEELER	Precipitation enhancement	\$ -	773.33
38	MANUFACTURING, HUTCHINSON	Voluntary transfers from other users	\$ -	758.33
39	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MOORE	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 1,852,600	754.33
40	CANADIAN RIVER MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	CRMWA acquisition of water rights	\$ 88,200,000	753.33
41	COUNTY-OTHER, RANDALL	Municipal conservation	\$ -	740.50
42	GREENBELT MUNICIPAL & INDUSTRIAL WATER AUTHORITY	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 1,865,900	739.00
43	SUNRAY	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 3,121,300	735.83
44	BORGER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	731.87
45	PALO DURO RIVER AUTHORITY	Palo Duro Reservoir	\$ 114,730,000	726.00
46	GRUVER	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 1,968,500	714.33
47	SUNRAY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	714.09
48	COUNTY-OTHER, POTTER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	712.69
49	COUNTY-OTHER, MOORE	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 3,114,800	712.67
50	PAMPA	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.33
51	PANHANDLE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.33
52	PERRYTON	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.33
53	TEXLINE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.33
54	WHEELER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.33
55	COUNTY-OTHER, RANDALL	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 10,889,220	707.28
56	AMARILLO	Municipal conservation	\$ -	702.19
57	CACTUS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	695.80
58	MANUFACTURING, POTTER	Voluntary transfers from other users	\$ -	694.33
59	MEMPHIS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	693.95
60	DUMAS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	692.68

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION A**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
61	SPEARMAN	Municipal conservation	\$ -	692.62
62	PAMPA	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 1,731,100	691.67
63	CANYON	Municipal conservation	\$ -	690.08
64	COUNTY-OTHER, HALL	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 2,522,400	689.33
65	FRITCH	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 4,006,900	689.33
66	MEMPHIS	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 1,042,100	688.02
67	AMARILLO	Potter County well field	\$ 128,511,300	678.29
68	COUNTY-OTHER, MOORE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	678.28
69	LEFORS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	677.76
70	GRUVER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	662.73
71	LEFORS	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 1,132,500	634.33
72	MEMPHIS	Voluntary transfers from other users	\$ -	604.21
73	PERRYTON	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 7,087,000	593.33
74	AMARILLO	Roberts County well field - Amarillo	\$ 287,377,200	574.33
75	TEXLINE	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 2,304,000	569.33
76	PANHANDLE	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 3,309,300	569.33
77	WHEELER	Drill additional groundwater well	\$ 2,233,300	513.33
78	COUNTY-OTHER, MOORE	Voluntary transfers from other users	\$ -	513.03
79	PAMPA	Voluntary transfers from other users	\$ -	347.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION B**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	IRRIGATION, WICHITA	Enclose canal laterals in pipe	\$ 7,658,469	868.27
2	REGIONAL WATER PROVIDER	Wichita Basin chloride control project	\$ 95,450,000	835.00
3	MINING, MONTAGUE	Purchase water from local provider	\$ 412,000	814.33
4	IRRIGATION, ARCHER	Increase water conservation pool at Lake Kemp	\$ 26,000	808.59
5	IRRIGATION, CLAY	Increase water conservation pool at Lake Kemp	\$ 26,000	808.59
6	IRRIGATION, WICHITA	Increase water conservation pool at Lake Kemp	\$ 26,000	808.59
7	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, WILBARGER	Increase water conservation pool at Lake Kemp	\$ 26,000	808.59
8	WICHITA FALLS	Increase water conservation pool at Lake Kemp	\$ 26,000	808.59
9	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTAGUE	Develop Trinity Aquifer supplies	\$ 1,059,638	806.15
10	COUNTY-OTHER, CLAY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	806.13
11	WICHITA FALLS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	803.60
12	BOWIE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	797.33
13	COUNTY-OTHER, ARCHER	Purchase water from local provider	\$ 364,000	794.33
14	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTAGUE	Develop other aquifer supplies	\$ 957,975	786.78
15	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTAGUE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	785.32
16	IOWA PARK	Municipal conservation	\$ -	777.33
17	LAKESIDE CITY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	777.33
18	IOWA PARK	Purchase water from local provider	\$ -	741.33
19	WICHITA FALLS	Construct Lake Ringgold	\$ 382,900,000	722.33
20	LAKESIDE CITY	Purchase water from local provider	\$ -	709.33
21	MANUFACTURING, WICHITA	Purchase water from local provider	\$ -	709.33
22	MANUFACTURING, WICHITA	Purchase water from local provider	\$ -	709.33
23	COUNTY-OTHER, ARCHER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	708.07
24	COUNTY-OTHER, BAYLOR	Emergency interconnect Millers Creek Reservoir	\$ 714,000	689.33
25	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTAGUE	Develop Trinity Aquifer supplies (includes overdrafting)	\$ 265,887	678.57
26	COUNTY-OTHER, WILBARGER	Nitrate removal plant	\$ 446,500	655.67
27	COUNTY-OTHER, CLAY	Purchase water from local provider	\$ 364,000	646.83
28	COUNTY-OTHER, WILBARGER	Purchase water from local provider	\$ 1,658,700	643.67
29	COUNTY-OTHER, CLAY	Nitrate removal plant	\$ 200,500	633.35
30	IRRIGATION, WICHITA	Wichita River diversion	\$ 5,380,000	543.61
31	BOWIE	Wastewater reuse	\$ 1,206,500	435.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	COUNTY-OTHER, COOKE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	950.33
2	COUNTY-OTHER, FANNIN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	950.33
3	COUNTY-OTHER, WISE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	950.33
4	HIGHLAND PARK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	950.33
5	SANSOM PARK VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	950.33
6	UNIVERSITY PARK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	950.33
7	DENISON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 25,961	938.89
8	KIOWA HOMEOWNERS WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	919.78
9	MINING, JACK	Indirect reuse - Jacksboro for Jack County mining	\$ 200,000	918.33
10	CORSICANA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 31,760	910.06
11	DAWSON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	905.62
12	BLOOMING GROVE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	905.46
13	EVERMAN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	904.66
14	RICE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	902.86
15	MUENSTER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	902.56
16	FAIRFIELD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	899.66
17	RICE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	899.26
18	NAVARRO MILLS WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	898.65
19	MINERAL WELLS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	898.37
20	CHATFIELD WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	897.92
21	PALMER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	897.91
22	M E N WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	897.66
23	SOUTH GRAYSON WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	895.25
24	MANSFIELD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 118,016,000	891.92
25	GRAPEVINE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 45,647	891.54
26	BRYSON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	890.33
27	PAYNE SPRINGS	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 378,000	890.33
28	COUNTY-OTHER, ROCKWALL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	889.49
29	COUNTY-OTHER, TARRANT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	889.48
30	RENO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	889.36
31	SHERMAN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 33,049	889.24
32	AURORA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	888.32
33	KERENS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	888.11
34	WOODBINE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	886.36
35	DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	886.17
36	HURST	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 33,764	885.74
37	COLLEYVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 24,497	885.18
38	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 54,029	884.99
39	SACHSE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 19,826	884.79
40	ANNETTA SOUTH	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	884.73
41	MESQUITE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 62,452	884.62
42	ROCKETT SUD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 38,460,000	884.00
43	TERRELL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 21,683	883.91
44	LUELLA WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	883.14
45	TROPHY CLUB	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	882.80
46	MABANK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	882.73
47	ROCKETT SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	882.71
48	ROWLETT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	882.69
49	ROYSE CITY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	882.20
50	MAYPEARL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	882.09
51	BOYD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	882.00
52	IRVING	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 10,000	881.99
53	HACKBERRY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	881.83
54	GLENN HEIGHTS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	881.74
55	PRINCETON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	881.41
56	JOSEPHINE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	881.30
57	THE COLONY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	881.05
58	HEATH	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	881.02
59	SOUTHLAKE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.98
60	MURPHY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.94
61	ROCKWALL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.90
62	NEW HOPE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.85
63	MILLIGAN WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.73

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
64	ROANOKE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.69
65	HIGHLAND VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	880.68
66	FATE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.64
67	PLANO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.54
68	SUNNYVALE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.48
69	COMMUNITY WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.43
70	NEVADA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.41
71	HASLET	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	880.30
72	PARKER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.24
73	ITALY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.20
74	CULLEOKA WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	880.20
75	LAVON WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.93
76	ANNETTA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.78
77	TALTY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.50
78	MELISSA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	879.50
79	MARILEE SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.40
80	RICHLAND HILLS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.15
81	OAK GROVE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.09
82	EAST FORK SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	879.06
83	COLLEGE MOUND WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.72
84	ARGYLE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.68
85	HIGH POINT WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.66
86	GASTONIA-SCURRY SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.64
87	PROSPER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.62
88	SOUTHWEST FANNIN COUNTY SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.60
89	WESTWORTH VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.57
90	MANSFIELD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 28,819	878.44
91	COUNTY-OTHER, KAUFMAN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.44
92	POST OAK BEND CITY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.26
93	BLACKLAND WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.24
94	PELICAN BAY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	878.05
95	SCURRY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.66
96	CROWLEY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.59
97	SANCTUARY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.42
98	FOREST HILL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.36
99	MCLENDON-CHISHOLM	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.32
100	RIVER OAKS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.25
101	SEVEN POINTS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.25
102	WEST WISE RURAL SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.25
103	PARADISE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.20
104	WATAUGA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.15
105	LUCAS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	877.01
106	KEMP	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.91
107	BARDWELL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.88
108	TOOL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.40
109	NEW FAIRVIEW	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.31
110	KRUM	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.26
111	LADONIA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.07
112	BARTONVILLE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.05
113	TWO WAY SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	876.03
114	MELISSA	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 1,916,000	875.66
115	BELLS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	875.65
116	ARLINGTON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	875.64
117	CORSICANA	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 28,160,000	875.49
118	MINING, WISE	Direct reuse	\$ -	875.46
119	KRUGERVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	875.33
120	HALTOM CITY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	875.28
121	HOWE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	874.66
122	WILLOW PARK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	874.59
123	COLLINSVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	874.52
124	WESTON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	874.43
125	LINCOLN PARK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.90
126	VALLEY VIEW	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.79

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
127	MUSTANG SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.75
128	LEONARD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.64
129	BOLIVAR WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.52
130	COUNTY-OTHER, DENTON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.34
131	NEWARK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.34
132	SOUTHMAYD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.25
133	AUBREY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.19
134	GUNTER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	873.07
135	SHADY SHORES	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	872.72
136	BLUE RIDGE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	872.09
137	DENTON	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 265,434,000	872.00
138	ABLES SPRINGS WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	871.99
139	LEWISVILLE	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 53,666,000	870.87
140	IRRIGATION, KAUFMAN	Golf course conservation	\$ -	870.13
141	PROSPER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	869.97
142	HIGHLAND VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	869.80
143	IRRIGATION, TARRANT	Golf course conservation	\$ -	869.52
144	HUDSON OAKS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	869.30
145	ALVORD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
146	BLUE MOUND	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
147	COUNTY-OTHER, COLLIN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
148	COUNTY-OTHER, DALLAS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
149	COUNTY-OTHER, ELLIS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
150	COUNTY-OTHER, FREESTONE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
151	COUNTY-OTHER, GRAYSON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
152	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
153	COUNTY-OTHER, NAVARRO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
154	COUNTY-OTHER, PARKER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
155	ECTOR	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
156	EUSTACE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
157	FROST	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
158	JACKSBORO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
159	JOHNSON COUNTY SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
160	LINDSAY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
161	LOG CABIN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
162	MALAKOFF	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
163	MILFORD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
164	PANTEGO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
165	PECAN HILL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
166	SAVOY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
167	TEAGUE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
168	TRINIDAD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
169	VIRGINIA HILL WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	867.00
170	RED OAK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	866.99
171	FORT WORTH	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	866.94
172	KELLER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	866.52
173	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA Denton Creek wastewater treatment plant reuse	\$ 9,506,000	866.14
174	MIDLOTHIAN	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 66,150,000	865.12
175	NORTH COLLIN WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	864.47
176	DANVILLE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	864.05
177	EAST CEDAR CREEK FWSD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 14,540,000	864.00
178	FORNEY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	863.21
179	BEDFORD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	863.17
180	R-C-H WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	863.08
181	SAINT PAUL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	862.76
182	EDGECLIFF	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	862.70
183	SAGINAW	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	862.59
184	LOWRY CROSSING	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	862.56
185	FARMERSVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	862.50
186	WHITESBORO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	862.41
187	WESTOVER HILLS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 18,461	862.38
188	FORNEY LAKE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	862.18
189	MT ZION WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	861.46

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION C

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
190	WAXAHACHIE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	861.16
191	RUNAWAY BAY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	861.12
192	AZLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	860.61
193	ATHENS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 25,600	860.35
194	BONHAM	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	858.89
195	NORTHLAKE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	858.84
196	POTTSBORO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	858.67
197	DOUBLE OAK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	858.47
198	SPRINGTOWN	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 408,750	858.31
199	RHOME	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	858.27
200	JUSTIN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 19,324	857.63
201	WHITEWRIGHT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	857.36
202	ALEDO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	857.32
203	GUN BARREL CITY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	856.93
204	LAKE DALLAS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	856.83
205	HICKORY CREEK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	856.76
206	COPPER CANYON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	856.67
207	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Facility improvements	\$ 2,295,829,800	856.67
208	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #1A	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	856.67
209	BARTONVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	856.55
210	DALLAS	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 1,068,033,000	855.69
211	ARGYLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	855.58
212	PONDER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	855.01
213	GAINESVILLE	Cooke County project	\$ 50,280,000	854.77
214	CELINA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	854.70
215	CORSICANA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	852.57
216	ANNA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	852.55
217	FLOWER MOUND	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 42,253	851.92
218	DALLAS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	851.73
219	GRAPEVINE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 8,412	851.26
220	LAKESIDE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	851.10
221	IRRIGATION, ELLIS	New wells - Woodbine Aquifer	\$ 2,487,000	850.33
222	WORTHAM	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 4,662,000	850.33
223	POTTSBORO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	849.26
224	ROCKETT SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	848.77
225	ALEDO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	848.70
226	LADONIA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	848.70
227	ARGYLE WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,836,000	848.67
228	SHERMAN	Supplemental wells	\$ 33,882,000	848.67
229	MARILEE SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	848.65
230	WESTON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	848.47
231	WAXAHACHIE	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 38,452,000	848.43
232	KRUM	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	848.41
233	HOWE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	848.37
234	COLLINSVILLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	848.23
235	CHICO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	848.20
236	BOLIVAR WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	847.89
237	MUSTANG SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	847.79
238	BLUE RIDGE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	847.71
239	ABLES SPRINGS WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	847.68
240	WALNUT CREEK SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	847.41
241	EAST CEDAR CREEK FWSD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	847.20
242	IRRIGATION, COLLIN	Golf course conservation	\$ -	847.00
243	IRRIGATION, DALLAS	Golf course conservation	\$ -	847.00
244	IRRIGATION, DALLAS	Supplemental wells	\$ 316,000	847.00
245	LIVESTOCK, DALLAS	Supplemental wells	\$ 186,000	847.00
246	LIVESTOCK, JACK	Supplemental wells	\$ 43,000	847.00
247	LIVESTOCK, KAUFMAN	Supplemental wells	\$ 56,000	847.00
248	LIVESTOCK, NAVARRO	Supplemental wells	\$ 105,000	847.00
249	LIVESTOCK, ROCKWALL	Supplemental wells	\$ 28,000	847.00
250	MINING, DALLAS	Supplemental wells	\$ 316,000	847.00
251	MINING, NAVARRO	Supplemental wells	\$ 348,000	847.00
252	WEST CEDAR CREEK MUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	846.06

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION C

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
253	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	Indirect reuse	\$ -	845.76
254	MCKINNEY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 53,573	844.98
255	FERRIS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	844.75
256	LAKESIDE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 18,728	843.93
257	FRISCO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 38,971	843.45
258	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 50,912,000	843.29
259	BENBROOK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	842.56
260	RICHARDSON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	842.31
261	CEDAR HILL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 31,256	842.05
262	WILMER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	842.01
263	LEWISVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 61,895	841.93
264	GARLAND	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 81,051	839.49
265	KAUFMAN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 22,543	839.48
266	DUNCANVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	839.42
267	ALLEN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 8,711	839.20
268	FAIRVIEW	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	839.14
269	WALNUT CREEK SUD	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 10,093,000	838.39
270	CORINTH	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	838.12
271	WYLIE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	837.41
272	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	Lake Ralph Hall	\$ 286,401,000	837.28
273	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	Lake Ralph Hall - indirect reuse	\$ -	837.28
274	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA Las Colinas reuse	\$ 14,530,000	836.82
275	TOM BEAN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	835.51
276	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #1A	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	835.15
277	FRISCO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 38,971	835.03
278	GREATER TEXOMA UTILITY AUTHORITY	Grayson County project	\$ 136,016,000	832.84
279	COPPELL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 7,192	832.74
280	FORT WORTH	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 772,646,000	832.24
281	GRAND PRAIRIE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 10,000	831.98
282	FAIRVIEW	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	830.72
283	CARROLLTON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 10,000	830.34
284	VAN ALSTYNE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	829.81
285	WISE COUNTY WSD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 14,540,000	829.52
286	WHITE SETTLEMENT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 27,254	828.31
287	COUNTY-OTHER, DALLAS	Supplemental wells	\$ 794,000	827.00
288	COUNTY-OTHER, DENTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,170,000	827.00
289	COUNTY-OTHER, KAUFMAN	Supplemental wells	\$ 404,000	827.00
290	FAIRFIELD	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,556,000	827.00
291	IRRIGATION, DENTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 116,000	827.00
292	IRRIGATION, GRAYSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 10,032,000	827.00
293	IRRIGATION, PARKER	Supplemental wells	\$ 28,000	827.00
294	IRRIGATION, WISE	Supplemental wells	\$ 35,000	827.00
295	KIOWA HOMEOWNERS WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,948,000	827.00
296	LIVESTOCK, COOKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,614,000	827.00
297	LIVESTOCK, DENTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 116,000	827.00
298	LIVESTOCK, FANNIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,472,000	827.00
299	LIVESTOCK, GRAYSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,025,000	827.00
300	LIVESTOCK, HENDERSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 56,000	827.00
301	LIVESTOCK, PARKER	Supplemental wells	\$ 28,000	827.00
302	LIVESTOCK, TARRANT	Supplemental wells	\$ 75,000	827.00
303	LIVESTOCK, WISE	Supplemental wells	\$ 35,000	827.00
304	MANUFACTURING, COLLIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 506,000	827.00
305	MANUFACTURING, HENDERSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 315,000	827.00
306	MINING, GRAYSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,885,000	827.00
307	MINING, HENDERSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 82,000	827.00
308	MINING, PARKER	Supplemental wells	\$ 38,000	827.00
309	MINING, WISE	Supplemental wells	\$ 49,000	827.00
310	PROSPER	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,583,166	827.00
311	THE COLONY	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,218,000	827.00
312	BUENA VISTA - BETHEL SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	826.80
313	RICHARDSON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 10,000	825.48
314	ENNIS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 27,821	825.44
315	CRANDALL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 19,942	824.87

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION C

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
316	IRRIGATION, ROCKWALL	Golf course conservation	\$ -	824.56
317	LANCASTER	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 2,373,000	824.06
318	SHERMAN	Grayson County project	\$ 146,071,000	824.00
319	SEAGOVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	823.31
320	TRENTON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	822.91
321	WALNUT CREEK SUD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 50,890,000	822.71
322	MUENSTER	Subordination agreement - future-only sources	\$ 8,217,000	822.51
323	ADDISON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	820.85
324	JUSTIN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	820.61
325	TIOGA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 18,528	819.36
326	COUNTY-OTHER, JACK	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	819.29
327	GAINESVILLE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	818.60
328	EULESS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 48,804	817.25
329	DECATUR	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	817.24
330	FARMERS BRANCH	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,502	815.92
331	PILOT POINT	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 443,000	815.37
332	COUNTY-OTHER, JACK	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 4,602,000	814.71
333	BURLESON	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 2,592,000	814.19
334	HONEY GROVE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	813.93
335	MIDLOTHIAN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 23,236	813.26
336	COMBINE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	813.22
337	BRIDGEPORT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	812.79
338	GARLAND	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	812.68
339	FILES VALLEY WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	812.57
340	MCKINNEY	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	811.52
341	BRIDGEPORT	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	810.78
342	DENTON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 10,000	810.62
343	COMBINE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	810.60
344	ALLEN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 8,711	809.60
345	ENNIS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	809.07
346	MANUFACTURING, GRAYSON	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	809.05
347	ATHENS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	809.00
348	MANUFACTURING, PARKER	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	808.77
349	BOLIVAR WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 10,842,000	808.67
350	HUTCHINS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	808.42
351	PONDER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	808.27
352	TWO WAY SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	808.13
353	TRENTON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	808.06
354	LEONARD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	807.95
355	NEWARK	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	807.94
356	FLOWER MOUND	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	807.93
357	WILLOW PARK	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	807.83
358	GUNTER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	807.59
359	AUBREY	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	807.58
360	HICKORY CREEK SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	807.51
361	BALCH SPRINGS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	807.23
362	COUNTY-OTHER, COLLIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 595,000	807.00
363	COUNTY-OTHER, COOKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 6,354,000	807.00
364	COUNTY-OTHER, GRAYSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 31,620,000	807.00
365	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 404,000	807.00
366	COUNTY-OTHER, WISE	Supplemental wells	\$ 348,000	807.00
367	HIGHLAND VILLAGE	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,992,000	807.00
368	HONEY GROVE	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,844,000	807.00
369	IRRIGATION, DENTON	TRA Denton Creek wastewater treatment plant reuse	\$ -	807.00
370	IRRIGATION, FANNIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 5,123,000	807.00
371	IRRIGATION, WISE	Golf course conservation	\$ -	807.00
372	LEONARD	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,442,000	807.00
373	LIVESTOCK, ELLIS	Supplemental wells	\$ 388,000	807.00
374	MANUFACTURING, WISE	Supplemental wells	\$ 259,000	807.00
375	MINING, DENTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 267,000	807.00
376	MINING, ELLIS	Supplemental wells	\$ 388,000	807.00
377	NORTHLAKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 500,000	807.00
378	RED OAK	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,749,000	807.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
379	TEAGUE	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,324,000	807.00
380	TWO WAY SUD	Supplemental wells	\$ 7,387,000	807.00
381	WESTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,168,000	807.00
382	WHITESBORO	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,708,000	807.00
383	IRVING	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	806.67
384	KAUFMAN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	805.26
385	CROSS ROADS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	804.63
386	LITTLE ELM	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	804.53
387	LANCASTER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	803.84
388	COCKRELL HILL	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	803.00
389	LADONIA	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 12,966,000	802.33
390	OAK LEAF	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	802.26
391	KENNEDALE	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	801.55
392	SPRINGTOWN	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 8,188,000	801.48
393	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Lower Bois d'Arc Creek Reservoir	\$ 615,498,000	801.39
394	COPELL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 7,192	801.04
395	COUNTY-OTHER, NAVARRO	Supplemental wells	\$ 558,000	799.00
396	COMMUNITY WATER COMPANY	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	798.99
397	WYLIE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,001	798.33
398	BETHESDA WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	798.23
399	RUNAWAY BAY	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 2,735,000	798.17
400	PILOT POINT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	797.87
401	OVILLA	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	797.12
402	PANTEGO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	797.00
403	CORINTH	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	796.98
404	WEATHERFORD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	796.38
405	WEST CEDAR CREEK MUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	795.28
406	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	794.85
407	AURORA	Purchase from water provider (2)	\$ 1,439,000	794.33
408	BLUE RIDGE	Conveyance project (3)	\$ 2,294,000	794.33
409	M E N WSC	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 3,002,000	794.33
410	MIDLOTHIAN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	793.92
411	SANGER	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	791.67
412	TERRELL	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 32,551,000	791.46
413	NORTH HUNT WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	790.97
414	CRESSON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	789.62
415	CORSICANA	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 32,388,400	789.53
416	SPRINGTOWN	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 19,443	789.25
417	BURLESON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	788.61
418	CADDO BASIN SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	788.41
419	TIOGA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	788.37
420	BENBROOK	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	788.34
421	BELLS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	788.15
422	FROST	Supplemental wells	\$ 558,000	787.00
423	WESTON	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 27,722,000	786.55
424	LITTLE ELM	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 421,000	786.26
425	ATHENS	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,959,000	785.33
426	SPRINGTOWN	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,421,000	785.33
427	BETHEL-ASH WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	785.24
428	KENNEDALE	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 717,000	783.80
429	CASH SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	783.79
430	MANUFACTURING, DENTON	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 717,000	783.55
431	PAYNE SPRINGS	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	783.15
432	DAWSON	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 1,044,000	782.33
433	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA Tarrant County project	\$ 59,008,000	781.07
434	NEWARK	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 2,376,000	780.50
435	WORTHAM	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	780.13
436	GAINESVILLE	Overdraft Trinity Aquifer - existing wells	\$ -	779.36
437	COUNTY-OTHER, FREESTONE	Supplemental wells	\$ 463,000	779.00
438	IRRIGATION, FREESTONE	Supplemental wells	\$ 75,000	779.00
439	LIVESTOCK, FREESTONE	Supplemental wells	\$ 75,000	779.00
440	MINING, FREESTONE	Supplemental wells	\$ 118,000	779.00
441	MINING, TARRANT	Supplemental wells	\$ 156,000	779.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
442	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	778.94
443	FARMERS BRANCH	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,502	778.63
444	CARROLLTON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	778.54
445	NEW FAIRVIEW	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 2,518,400	778.49
446	IRRIGATION, ELLIS	Golf course conservation	\$ -	776.96
447	AZLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	776.81
448	OAK POINT	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ 5,000	775.97
449	MANSFIELD	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 41,080,000	774.21
450	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 9,467,000	774.19
451	CORSICANA	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 19,970,000	773.53
452	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	Facility improvements - reuse sources	\$ 590,686,000	773.33
453	LITTLE ELM	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	771.69
454	DE SOTO	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	771.46
455	BLACKLAND WSC	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 3,067,000	770.73
456	OVILLA	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 6,169,000	769.53
457	BARDWELL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	768.23
458	WEATHERFORD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 36,422,000	768.00
459	WHITEWRIGHT	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	767.76
460	AZLE	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 20,892,000	767.59
461	AZLE	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 14,964,000	767.59
462	ALVORD	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,508,000	767.00
463	AUBREY	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,794,000	767.00
464	AURORA	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,512,000	767.00
465	BELLS	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,033,000	767.00
466	BLUE MOUND	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,528,168	767.00
467	BLUE RIDGE	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,528,000	767.00
468	CELINA	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,838,000	767.00
469	COLLINSVILLE	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,990,000	767.00
470	COUNTY-OTHER, PARKER	Supplemental wells	\$ 331,000	767.00
471	ECTOR	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,332,000	767.00
472	GUNTER	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,475,000	767.00
473	IRRIGATION, DENTON	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 717,000	767.00
474	ITALY	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,434,000	767.00
475	LINDSAY	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,380,000	767.00
476	LOG CABIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,400,000	767.00
477	LUELLA WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,214,000	767.00
478	MALAKOFF	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,512,000	767.00
479	MANUFACTURING, DENTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 504,000	767.00
480	MANUFACTURING, ELLIS	Supplemental wells	\$ 13,358,000	767.00
481	MANUFACTURING, HENDERSON	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	767.00
482	MANUFACTURING, PARKER	Supplemental wells	\$ 242,000	767.00
483	MARILEE SUD	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,307,000	767.00
484	MAYPEARL	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,559,000	767.00
485	NEW FAIRVIEW	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,340,000	767.00
486	PANTEGO	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,510,000	767.00
487	SOUTH GRAYSON WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 14,471,000	767.00
488	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, DENTON	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 717,000	767.00
489	TIOGA	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,830,000	767.00
490	VIRGINIA HILL WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,096,000	767.00
491	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	766.47
492	LAKESIDE	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 662,000	766.33
493	BUENA VISTA - BETHEL SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	766.11
494	GAINESVILLE	Supplemental wells	\$ 5,648,000	765.33
495	HOWE	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,286,000	765.33
496	MUENSTER	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,150,000	765.33
497	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	Supplemental wells	\$ 502,000	765.33
498	DECATUR	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	765.23
499	GRAND PRAIRIE	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,000,000	763.67
500	IRRIGATION, KAUFMAN	Supplemental wells	\$ 56,000	763.67
501	MINING, JACK	Supplemental wells	\$ 63,000	763.67
502	BLOOMING GROVE	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 1,495,400	762.33
503	COLLEGE MOUND WSC	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 2,569,000	761.30
504	FERRIS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	761.20

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION C

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
505	BENBROOK	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 17,046,000	760.90
506	PELICAN BAY	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 1,430,400	760.14
507	IRRIGATION, COLLIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 608,000	759.00
508	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, FREESTONE	Supplemental wells	\$ 374,000	759.00
509	CRANDALL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	758.41
510	VAN ALSTYNE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	756.90
511	ANNETTA	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 1,522,100	755.98
512	WILLOW PARK	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 3,558,100	755.98
513	WEATHERFORD	Facility improvements	\$ 545,000	755.94
514	GREATER TEXOMA UTILITY AUTHORITY	Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance System	\$ 77,366,000	755.23
515	HEBRON	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	753.85
516	R-C-H WSC	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 2,416,000	752.96
517	BRANDON-IRENE WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	752.91
518	WALNUT CREEK SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	751.68
519	DALLAS	Dallas Water Utilities reuse	\$ 82,920,000	751.60
520	DALLAS	Additional pipeline from Lake Tawakoni (more Lake Fork supply)	\$ 496,243,000	750.99
521	GRAND PRAIRIE	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 33,724,000	750.81
522	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA Kaufman County reuse	\$ 9,761,000	749.83
523	FLO COMMUNITY WSC	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	749.02
524	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	Overdraft Trinity Aquifer - existing wells	\$ -	748.86
525	RED OAK	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 8,012,000	748.78
526	GUN BARREL CITY	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 11,576,000	748.72
527	COUNTY-OTHER, DENTON	New wells - Woodbine Aquifer	\$ 1,639,000	748.36
528	CROWLEY	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 621,000	748.16
529	ANNA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	747.24
530	ALEDO	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,232,000	747.00
531	BARDWELL	Supplemental wells	\$ 581,000	747.00
532	COUNTY-OTHER, ELLIS	Supplemental wells	\$ 8,826,000	747.00
533	EUSTACE	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,035,000	747.00
534	LAKESIDE	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,065,000	747.00
535	MINING, DENTON	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 1,064,000	747.00
536	PALMER	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,152,000	747.00
537	PONDER	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,902,000	747.00
538	POTTSBORO	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,125,000	747.00
539	SOUTHMAYD	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,519,000	747.00
540	WHITEWRIGHT	Supplemental wells	\$ 6,181,000	747.00
541	MACBEE SUD	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	746.88
542	DALLAS	Lake Palestine connection (integrated pipeline with TRWD)	\$ 887,954,000	746.72
543	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	TRWD third pipeline and reuse	\$ 914,424,000	746.72
544	GLENN HEIGHTS	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,659,000	745.33
545	SOUTHLAKE	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 9,427,000	743.91
546	BEDFORD	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,062,000	743.67
547	CARROLLTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,173,000	743.67
548	COUNTY-OTHER, JACK	Supplemental wells	\$ 372,000	743.67
549	EULESS	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,250,000	743.67
550	HURST	Supplemental wells	\$ 5,958,000	743.67
551	IRRIGATION, COOKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,678,000	743.67
552	IRRIGATION, ELLIS	Supplemental wells	\$ 394,000	743.67
553	IRRIGATION, TARRANT	Supplemental wells	\$ 75,000	743.67
554	MANUFACTURING, COOKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,584,000	743.67
555	MANUFACTURING, GRAYSON	Supplemental wells	\$ 12,982,000	743.67
556	MINING, COOKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 420,000	743.67
557	DENTON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 10,000	743.40
558	LAKE WORTH	New wells - Trinity Aquifer	\$ 416,000	743.34
559	BUENA VISTA - BETHEL SUD	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 8,798,000	743.19
560	CRANDALL	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 6,104,000	742.80
561	IRRIGATION, COOKE	Golf course conservation	\$ -	740.93
562	MIDLOTHIAN	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 30,590,000	739.73
563	TEAGUE	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 902,000	739.00
564	ATHENS	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ -	737.56
565	TOM BEAN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	737.27
566	LEWISVILLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 61,985	736.82

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
567	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	Overdraft Trinity Aquifer - existing wells	\$ -	735.49
568	FORT WORTH	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 100,617,000	735.23
569	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, TARRANT	Direct reuse	\$ 10,315,000	735.03
570	IRRIGATION, COOKE	Overdraft Trinity Aquifer - existing wells	\$ -	735.00
571	BRIDGEPORT	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 11,576,000	733.04
572	CROSS ROADS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	730.40
573	WEATHERFORD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	730.37
574	MANUFACTURING, DALLAS	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	730.16
575	MANUFACTURING, TARRANT	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	729.56
576	MANUFACTURING, DENTON	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	729.34
577	MANUFACTURING, COOKE	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	729.16
578	MANUFACTURING, NAVARRO	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	728.87
579	PAYNE SPRINGS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	728.77
580	MANUFACTURING, KAUFMAN	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	728.44
581	MANUFACTURING, COLLIN	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	728.38
582	DALLAS	Main Stem Trinity pump station (Lake Ray Hubbard indirect reuse - DWU)	\$ 142,567,000	728.22
583	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Main stem pump station (additional East Fork) NTMWD	\$ -	728.22
584	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	728.20
585	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA 10-Mile Creek reuse project	\$ 14,895,000	727.72
586	PARKER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	727.36
587	MURPHY	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	727.14
588	MANSFIELD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	727.07
589	ANNETTA	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,610,000	727.00
590	ANNETTA SOUTH	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,610,000	727.00
591	LINCOLN PARK	Supplemental wells	\$ 500,000	727.00
592	NEWARK	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,382,000	727.00
593	PELICAN BAY	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,940,000	727.00
594	SOUTHWEST FANNIN COUNTY SUD	Supplemental wells	\$ 9,451,000	727.00
595	WILLOW PARK	Supplemental wells	\$ 5,633,000	727.00
596	PLANO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	726.83
597	SUNNYVALE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	726.72
598	TALTY	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	725.95
599	SPRINGTOWN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	725.73
600	SPRINGTOWN	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 4,094,000	725.48
601	BARTONVILLE WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 6,016,000	725.33
602	DENISON	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,416,000	725.33
603	MUSTANG SUD	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,444,000	725.33
604	OVILLA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	725.15
605	MANUFACTURING, WISE	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	724.99
606	ADDISON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	724.26
607	WORTHAM	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 6,488,000	724.05
608	COLLEYVILLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	723.95
609	SOUTHLAKE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	723.90
610	MANUFACTURING, ROCKWALL	Manufacturing conservation	\$ -	723.89
611	SANGER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	723.83
612	BENBROOK	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,886,000	723.67
613	BETHEL-ASH WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,712,000	723.67
614	BOYD	Supplemental wells	\$ 760,000	723.67
615	BUENA VISTA - BETHEL SUD	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,732,000	723.67
616	CORINTH	Supplemental wells	\$ 541,600	723.67
617	COUNTY-OTHER, FANNIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 13,498,000	723.67
618	COUNTY-OTHER, TARRANT	Supplemental wells	\$ 463,000	723.67
619	DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,165,000	723.67
620	FERRIS	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,300,000	723.67
621	FLO COMMUNITY WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,305,000	723.67
622	JUSTIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,188,000	723.67
623	KENNEDALE	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,732,000	723.67
624	RICHLAND HILLS	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,381,000	723.67
625	SANGER	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,360,000	723.67
626	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 7,278,000	723.67
627	TROPHY CLUB	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,179,000	723.67
628	VAN ALSTYNE	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,422,000	723.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION C

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
629	WOODBINE WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,852,000	723.67
630	WILMER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	723.25
631	EAST CEDAR CREEK FWSD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	721.55
632	LAKE WORTH	Municipal conservation - basic	\$ -	721.09
633	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Lake Texoma - authorized (blend)	\$ 336,356,000	720.06
634	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 11,803,000	719.32
635	FORT WORTH	Direct reuse	\$ 182,699,000	719.29
636	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	New wells - Woodbine Aquifer	\$ 876,000	719.20
637	LIVESTOCK, COLLIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 304,000	719.00
638	MILFORD	Supplemental wells	\$ 958,000	719.00
639	SAVOY	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,368,000	719.00
640	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, FANNIN	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,186,000	719.00
641	VALLEY VIEW	Supplemental wells	\$ 456,000	719.00
642	IRVING	Direct reuse	\$ 58,628,000	717.99
643	DENISON	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 13,847,000	717.33
644	LAKE CITIES MUNICIPAL UTILITY AUTHORITY	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,355,000	717.33
645	CHICO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	712.09
646	NAVARRO MILLS WSC	New wells - Woodbine Aquifer	\$ 1,200,000	707.42
647	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HENDERSON	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 14,103,000	706.33
648	DE SOTO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	705.91
649	GRAND PRAIRIE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	705.60
650	DUNCANVILLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	705.55
651	CEDAR HILL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	705.39
652	PALO PINTO MWD #1	Redistribution of supplies	\$ -	704.76
653	HEATH	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	703.81
654	OAK POINT	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	703.79
655	ARGYLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	703.73
656	CASH SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	703.72
657	COUNTY-OTHER, ROCKWALL	Supplemental wells	\$ 331,000	703.67
658	MANUFACTURING, DALLAS	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,410,000	703.67
659	WILMER	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,977,000	703.67
660	SOUTHMAYD	New wells - Woodbine Aquifer	\$ 366,000	699.02
661	PANTEGO	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 1,072,000	699.00
662	DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	692.46
663	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Marvin Nichols Reservoir	\$ 830,894,000	691.11
664	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	Marvin Nichols Reservoir	\$ 2,371,116,000	691.11
665	TERRELL	Marvin Nichols Reservoir	\$ -	691.11
666	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	Marvin Nichols Reservoir	\$ 143,042,000	691.11
667	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	690.18
668	KELLER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	688.90
669	TROPHY CLUB	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	688.80
670	MABANK	Water treatment plant - expansion - reuse sources	\$ 4,094,000	686.98
671	ROCKWALL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	686.80
672	SACHSE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	686.73
673	ROWLETT	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	686.42
674	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, PARKER	Conveyance project (3)	\$ 2,099,000	686.33
675	FORNEY LAKE WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	686.23
676	KENNEDALE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	685.76
677	NEW HOPE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	685.51
678	LAKE WORTH	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	685.27
679	NEVADA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	684.95
680	BETHEL-ASH WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	684.06
681	CELINA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	683.81
682	DALLAS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	683.70
683	IRVING	Facility improvements	\$ 9,092,000	683.67
684	ANNA	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,381,000	683.67
685	BETHESDA WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 10,476,000	683.67
686	CHICO	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,239,000	683.67
687	EVERMAN	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,524,000	683.67
688	KRUM	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,266,000	683.67
689	LAKE WORTH	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,951,000	683.67
690	LITTLE ELM	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,004,000	683.67
691	MELISSA	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,330,000	683.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
692	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,458,000	683.67
693	PILOT POINT	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,002,000	683.67
694	RHOME	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,182,000	683.67
695	SANSOM PARK VILLAGE	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,456,000	683.67
696	TOM BEAN	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,196,000	683.67
697	WEST WISE RURAL SUD	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 4,871,000	681.97
698	COUNTY-OTHER, ELLIS	New wells - Woodbine Aquifer	\$ 7,975,000	679.00
699	IRVING	Oklahoma water to Irving	\$ 194,825,000	677.77
700	ENNIS	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 33,960,000	676.00
701	IRVING	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 12,879,000	675.67
702	WEST CEDAR CREEK MUD	Water treatment plant - expansion - reuse sources	\$ 28,656,000	675.42
703	ANNA	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ -	672.34
704	HONEY GROVE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	672.29
705	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Fannin County project	\$ 38,471,000	672.02
706	FRISCO	Direct reuse - Frisco	\$ 31,448,606	671.65
707	ROANOKE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	670.43
708	HURST	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	670.25
709	MAYPEARL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	670.21
710	BEDFORD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	670.13
711	EDGECLIFF	Municipal conservation - expanded (*NEW)	\$ -	669.38
712	CELINA	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 15,669,250	668.84
713	ARLINGTON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	668.26
714	EULESS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	668.20
715	WAXAHACHIE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	667.66
716	WHITESBORO	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	667.44
717	RED OAK	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	666.92
718	BETHESDA WSC	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 16,334,000	666.85
719	MT ZION WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	666.60
720	FORT WORTH	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	666.34
721	FORNEY	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	666.31
722	MESQUITE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	666.00
723	CROWLEY	Supplemental wells	\$ 4,014,000	663.67
724	HASLET	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,873,000	663.67
725	ROANOKE	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,164,000	663.67
726	WHITE SETTLEMENT	Supplemental wells	\$ 3,969,000	663.67
727	MINING, COOKE	Overdraft Trinity Aquifer - new wells	\$ 269,000	655.00
728	GAINESVILLE	Direct reuse	\$ 1,828,000	650.06
729	SAGINAW	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	648.33
730	CHICO	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ 3,005,000	648.26
731	DECATUR	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ -	647.52
732	HUTCHINS	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	646.90
733	MABANK	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	646.76
734	R-C-H WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	646.41
735	NORTH COLLIN WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	646.25
736	DANVILLE WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	646.22
737	ROYSE CITY	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	645.99
738	TERRELL	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	645.57
739	BONHAM	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	645.21
740	HACKBERRY	Supplemental wells	\$ 959,000	643.67
741	HUDSON OAKS	Supplemental wells	\$ 7,518,000	643.67
742	RENO	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,316,000	643.67
743	SABINE RIVER AUTHORITY	Toledo Bend project (Region I entities responsible for 20 percent of cost)	\$ 475,648,000	640.00
744	ENNIS	Ennis reuse	\$ 31,779,000	638.09
745	LADONIA	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,250,000	635.67
746	WHITE SETTLEMENT	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	634.14
747	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	Direct reuse	\$ 11,313,000	632.60
748	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ -	620.67
749	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, PARKER	Purchase from water provider (2)	\$ -	617.67
750	DENISON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	617.05
751	KELLER	Supplemental wells	\$ 711,000	615.67
752	NORTH HUNT WSC	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,286,000	615.67
753	PAYNE SPRINGS	Supplemental wells	\$ 688,000	615.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION C**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
754	TRENTON	Supplemental wells	\$ 1,226,000	615.67
755	SPRINGTOWN	Conveyance project (3)	\$ 2,072,400	615.35
756	WATAUGA	Conveyance project (2)	\$ -	611.48
757	FAIRFIELD	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 573,000	610.39
758	DALLAS	Redistribution of supplies	\$ -	607.22
759	FAIRFIELD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	606.46
760	GAINESVILLE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	605.72
761	CEDAR HILL	Supplemental wells	\$ 2,808,000	599.67
762	COUNTY-OTHER, PARKER	Conveyance project (1)	\$ -	599.00
763	WATAUGA	Conveyance project (3)	\$ -	598.33
764	FAIRFIELD	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 8,218,000	594.33
765	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, WISE	Conveyance project (2)	\$ 4,028,000	594.33
766	WALNUT CREEK SUD	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 11,576,000	592.60
767	SHERMAN	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	588.35
768	PANTEGO	Purchase from water provider (3)	\$ 1,072,000	583.00
769	ARLINGTON	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 54,618,000	581.98
770	BRIDGEPORT	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 14,540,000	578.58
771	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA Freestone County reuse	\$ 17,266,000	575.79
772	MIDLOTHIAN	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ -	573.17
773	BLOOMING GROVE	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	572.82
774	MUENSTER	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	571.17
775	DALLAS	Additional dry year supply	\$ 1,750,000	567.28
776	DALLAS	Lake Wright Patman - reallocation of flood pool	\$ 896,478,000	565.69
777	ARGYLE WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	565.02
778	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Lake Texoma - interim purchase from GTUA	\$ -	560.40
779	CASH SUD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 7,270,000	559.48
780	HICKORY CREEK SUD	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ 5,000	543.82
781	MANUFACTURING, COOKE	Water treatment plant - new	\$ -	541.51
782	LEWISVILLE	Water treatment plant - new	\$ 31,621,000	540.63
783	DENISON	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 7,270,000	536.82
784	BUENA VISTA - BETHEL SUD	Overdraft Trinity Aquifer - existing wells	\$ -	534.81
785	DALLAS	Conveyance project (1)	\$ 260,000,000	525.33
786	MELISSA	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	525.06
787	DAWSON	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	508.68
788	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA Ellis County reuse	\$ 10,384,000	502.46
789	BARTONVILLE WSC	Municipal conservation - expanded	\$ -	464.76
790	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Toledo Bend project (Region I entities responsible for 20 percent of cost)	\$ 929,822,000	461.65
791	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	Toledo Bend project (Region I entities responsible for 20 percent of cost)	\$ 1,000,766,000	461.65
792	TERRELL	Toledo Bend project (Region I entities responsible for 20 percent of cost)	\$ -	461.65
793	WEST WISE RURAL SUD	Water treatment plant - expansion	\$ 4,094,000	458.56
794	WAXAHACHIE	Purchase from water provider (1)	\$ -	409.49
795	DALLAS	Fastrill replacement (Region C component)	\$ 1,980,278,000	395.59
796	NORTH TEXAS MWD	Oklahoma water to NTMWD, TRWD, UTRWD	\$ 210,353,500	357.31
797	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	Oklahoma water to NTMWD, TRWD, UTRWD	\$ 448,332,000	357.31
798	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	Oklahoma water to NTMWD, TRWD, UTRWD	\$ 97,359,000	357.31
799	HICKORY CREEK SUD	Additional Woodbine Aquifer - Existing Wells	\$ -	41.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION D

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	COUNTY-OTHER, VAN ZANDT	Drill new well	\$ 5,886,954	940.00
2	MINEOLA	Drill new well	\$ 313,958	940.00
3	NEW BOSTON	Increase existing contract	\$ -	928.00
4	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HUNT	New surface water contract	\$ -	924.00
5	WAKE VILLAGE	Increase existing contract	\$ -	924.00
6	COUNTY-OTHER, HARRISON	Drill new well	\$ 2,451,846	920.00
7	COUNTY-OTHER, BOWIE	Increase existing contract	\$ -	904.00
8	HOOKS	Increase existing contract	\$ -	904.00
9	WASKOM	Drill new well	\$ 718,665	900.00
10	CLARKSVILLE CITY	Drill new well	\$ 1,686,494	880.00
11	COUNTY-OTHER, HUNT	Drill new well	\$ 1,166,252	864.00
12	CENTRAL BOWIE WSC	Increase existing contract	\$ -	862.33
13	COUNTY-OTHER, CAMP	Drill new well	\$ 1,014,865	860.00
14	GRAND SALINE	Drill new well	\$ 749,549	836.00
15	COUNTY-OTHER, HUNT	Increase existing contract	\$ -	824.00
16	COUNTY-OTHER, HUNT	New surface water contract	\$ 125,174	824.00
17	COUNTY-OTHER, LAMAR	New surface water contract	\$ 43,435	824.00
18	COUNTY-OTHER, RAINS	Increase existing contract	\$ -	824.00
19	REDWATER	Increase existing contract	\$ -	824.00
20	MACEDONIA-EYLAU MUD #1	Increase existing contract	\$ -	820.67
21	WEST GREGG WSC	Drill new well	\$ 2,325,906	818.33
22	CAMPBELL WSC	Drill new well	\$ 805,668	782.67
23	BI-COUNTY WSC	New surface water contract	\$ 51,585	782.33
24	LIBERTY CITY WSC	Drill new well	\$ 1,535,841	760.00
25	COUNTY-OTHER, DELTA	New surface water contract	\$ 440,647	744.00
26	NORTH HUNT WSC	Increase existing contract	\$ -	740.67
27	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, LAMAR	Increase existing contract	\$ -	722.33
28	CANTON	Drill new well	\$ 939,729	722.33
29	COUNTY-OTHER, SMITH	Drill new well	\$ 413,194	700.00
30	COUNTY-OTHER, HOPKINS	Drill new well	\$ 625,506	696.00
31	COUNTY-OTHER, GREGG	Drill new well	\$ 316,158	680.00
32	WOLFE CITY	New surface water contract	\$ 2,910,914	660.67
33	HICKORY CREEK SUD	Drill new well	\$ 7,831,144	652.67
34	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS INC	Drill new well	\$ 1,383,789	618.33
35	ABLES SPRINGS WSC	New surface water contract	\$ -	604.00
36	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HARRISON	Increase existing contract	\$ -	602.33
37	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, TITUS	Increase existing contract	\$ -	601.50
38	COUNTY-OTHER, GREGG	Increase existing contract	\$ -	600.00
39	VAN	Drill new well	\$ 562,963	576.00
40	CASH SUD	New surface water contract	\$ -	567.33
41	COMBINED CONSUMERS WSC	New surface water contract	\$ -	562.33
42	LINDALE	Drill new well	\$ 669,409	558.33
43	LINDALE RURAL WSC	Drill new well	\$ 413,194	558.33
44	CAMPBELL WSC	New surface water contract	\$ 934,926	525.67
45	R P M WSC	Drill new well	\$ 449,135	494.33
46	CELESTE	New surface water contract	\$ 1,741,204	400.67
47	WINONA	Increase existing contract	\$ -	362.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION E**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	HORIZON REGIONAL MUD	Additional wells and desalination plant expansions	\$ 34,344,000	924.00
2	LOWER VALLEY WD	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	910.33
3	SAN ELIZARIO	Purchase water from LVWD	\$ -	870.33
4	SOCORRO	Purchase water from LVWD	\$ -	870.33
5	VINTON	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	850.33
6	COUNTY-OTHER, EL PASO	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	822.83
7	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - conservation	\$ -	814.17
8	MANUFACTURING, EL PASO	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	808.67
9	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, EL PASO	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	808.67
10	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - recharge of groundwater with treated surface water	\$ 14,625,000	781.67
11	TORNILLO WCID	Additional wells	\$ 1,006,762	781.17
12	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - direct reuse	\$ 25,257,000	778.33
13	TORNILLO WCID	Arsenic treatment facility	\$ 1,996,232	757.50
14	FORT BLISS	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	742.00
15	COUNTY-OTHER, EL PASO	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	732.00
16	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - conjunctive use with additional surface water	\$ 140,238,000	720.83
17	IRRIGATION, EL PASO	Water district delivery systems	\$ 147,635,869	712.50
18	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - desalination of agricultural drain water	\$ 16,675,000	690.33
19	IRRIGATION, EL PASO	Irrigation scheduling	\$ -	673.33
20	IRRIGATION, EL PASO	Tailwater reuse	\$ -	673.33
21	MARFA	Additional one well	\$ 702,770	671.67
22	IRRIGATION, HUDSPETH	Irrigation scheduling	\$ -	670.00
23	IRRIGATION, HUDSPETH	Tailwater reuse	\$ -	631.67
24	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, EL PASO	Purchase water from EPWU	\$ -	568.67
25	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - import from Diablo Farms	\$ 245,506,000	525.83
26	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - conjunctive use with additional surface water	\$ -	510.83
27	EL PASO	Integrated water management strategy - import from Dell Valley	\$ 214,113,000	470.83

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION F

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	UPPER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Subordination	\$ -	894.00
2	JUNCTION	Subordination	\$ -	882.33
3	MINING, COLEMAN	Subordination	\$ -	882.33
4	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, COKE	Subordination	\$ -	882.33
5	COLORADO RIVER MWD	Subordination	\$ -	872.33
6	BRADY	Subordination	\$ -	862.33
7	IRRIGATION, COLEMAN	Subordination	\$ -	862.33
8	MANUFACTURING, COLEMAN	Subordination	\$ -	862.33
9	SAN ANGELO	Subordination	\$ -	851.54
10	WINTERS	Subordination	\$ -	840.67
11	IRRIGATION, REEVES	Irrigation conservation	\$ 8,253,318	835.22
12	COLEMAN	Subordination	\$ -	832.33
13	COUNTY-OTHER, COLEMAN	Subordination	\$ -	832.33
14	MANUFACTURING, RUNNELS	Subordination	\$ -	827.37
15	MANUFACTURING, KIMBLE	Subordination	\$ -	820.67
16	COLEMAN COUNTY WSC	Subordination	\$ -	819.00
17	COUNTY-OTHER, KIMBLE	Subordination	\$ -	799.83
18	IRRIGATION, MARTIN	Irrigation conservation	\$ 4,001,621	799.83
19	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MITCHELL	Subordination	\$ -	790.67
20	MENARD	Develop Hickory Aquifer supplies	\$ 1,684,000	780.67
21	SAN ANGELO	Develop Hickory Aquifer supplies	\$ 173,307,000	778.69
22	BALLINGER	Subordination	\$ -	767.29
23	SAN ANGELO	Brush control	\$ 23,020,000	760.30
24	BRONTE VILLAGE	Subordination	\$ -	758.17
25	IRRIGATION, ECTOR	Irrigation conservation	\$ 304,680	757.33
26	IRRIGATION, MASON	Irrigation conservation	\$ 713,460	757.33
27	IRRIGATION, MCCULLOCH	Irrigation conservation	\$ 166,844	757.33
28	IRRIGATION, PECOS	Irrigation conservation	\$ 8,329,226	757.33
29	IRRIGATION, STERLING	Irrigation conservation	\$ 25,860	757.33
30	IRRIGATION, SUTTON	Irrigation conservation	\$ 194,940	757.33
31	ROBERT LEE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	754.15
32	MIDLAND	Municipal conservation	\$ -	752.68
33	MENARD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	750.91
34	COLORADO RIVER MWD	Reuse	\$ 128,748,000	746.53
35	IRRIGATION, CONCHO	Irrigation conservation	\$ 1,895,367	737.33
36	IRRIGATION, HOWARD	Irrigation conservation	\$ 647,652	737.33
37	IRRIGATION, KIMBLE	Irrigation conservation	\$ 141,658	737.33
38	IRRIGATION, MITCHELL	Irrigation conservation	\$ 2,548,056	737.33
39	IRRIGATION, SCHLEICHER	Irrigation conservation	\$ 176,982	737.33
40	IRRIGATION, WINKLER	Irrigation conservation	\$ 196,902	737.33
41	IRRIGATION, ANDREWS	Irrigation conservation	\$ 4,822,904	734.89
42	BRONTE VILLAGE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	733.78
43	STANTON	New/renew water supply	\$ -	732.67
44	IRRIGATION, GLASSCOCK	Irrigation conservation	\$ 11,422,560	730.24
45	BIG SPRING	Municipal conservation	\$ -	729.53
46	IRRIGATION, WARD	Irrigation conservation	\$ 437,760	727.82
47	IRRIGATION, TOM GREEN	Irrigation conservation	\$ 10,120,488	726.95
48	COUNTY-OTHER, MENARD	Develop Hickory Aquifer supplies	\$ -	724.33
49	IRRIGATION, IRION	Irrigation conservation	\$ 21,137	724.23
50	IRRIGATION, MENARD	Irrigation conservation	\$ 16,029	718.09
51	IRRIGATION, SCURRY	Irrigation conservation	\$ 1,290,508	717.33
52	IRRIGATION, MITCHELL	Weather Modification	\$ -	713.33
53	IRRIGATION, REAGAN	Irrigation conservation	\$ 6,275,976	710.21
54	ANDREWS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.92
55	SNYDER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	708.99
56	COUNTY-OTHER, RUNNELS	Subordination	\$ -	707.33
57	IRRIGATION, BORDEN	Irrigation conservation	\$ 478,200	707.05
58	IRRIGATION, BROWN	Irrigation conservation	\$ 54,917	703.69
59	IRRIGATION, MIDLAND	Irrigation conservation	\$ 3,169,471	703.59
60	SAN ANGELO	Municipal conservation	\$ -	679.25
61	ODESSA	Municipal conservation	\$ -	673.71
62	IRRIGATION, IRION	Weather Modification	\$ -	671.67
63	IRRIGATION, WARD	Weather Modification	\$ -	671.67
64	RICHLAND SUD	Develop Ellenburger Aquifer supplies	\$ 5,148,000	666.17
65	COLEMAN	Municipal conservation	\$ -	665.10

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION F**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
66	BRADY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	662.91
67	COLORADO RIVER MWD	New/renew water supply	\$ 8,964,000	661.38
68	IRRIGATION, UPTON	Irrigation conservation	\$ 2,944,152	659.08
69	ANDREWS	Desalination	\$ 6,717,000	658.17
70	COUNTY-OTHER, MCCULLOCH	Bottled water program	\$ -	657.33
71	WINTERS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	649.55
72	BALLINGER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	649.53
73	MIDLAND	Develop Cenozoic Aquifer supplies	\$ 168,507,000	645.39
74	COLORADO RIVER MWD	Develop Cenozoic Aquifer supplies	\$ 76,268,000	633.99
75	RICHLAND SUD	Bottled water program	\$ 3,000	631.50
76	EDEN	Advanced treatment	\$ 2,582,000	623.67
77	COLORADO RIVER MWD	Replacement well	\$ 10,440,000	615.67
78	BRONTE VILLAGE	Rehabilitation of pipeline	\$ 1,364,900	606.00
79	EDEN	Replacement well	\$ 1,800,000	595.67
80	COLORADO RIVER MWD	Desalination	\$ 131,603,990	591.73
81	UNIVERSITY LANDS	New/renew water supply	\$ -	590.63
82	COLORADO CITY	Develop Dockum Aquifer supplies	\$ 17,855,000	589.33
83	ROBERT LEE	New water treatment plant and storage facilities	\$ 2,436,000	586.00
84	SAN ANGELO	Rehabilitation of pipeline	\$ 6,157,000	511.93
85	MIDLAND	New/renew water supply	\$ -	504.49
86	RICHLAND SUD	Replacement well	\$ 1,701,000	494.83
87	MILLERSVIEW-DOOLE WSC	New/renew water supply	\$ -	494.33
88	SAN ANGELO	Desalination	\$ 75,440,000	487.58
89	WINTERS	Reuse	\$ 2,158,000	385.56
90	COUNTY-OTHER, RUNNELS	New/renew water supply	\$ -	382.00
91	BALLINGER	New/renew water supply	\$ -	354.96
92	MANUFACTURING, RUNNELS	New/renew water supply	\$ -	331.75

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION G**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER AUTH	Millers Creek augmentation	\$ 46,948,000	924.00
2	WEST CENTRAL TEXAS MWD	Restructure contract	\$ -	914.00
3	BELL COUNTY WCID #1	Reallocation of source	\$ -	902.33
4	MINING, STEPHENS	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	900.47
5	BRUSHY CREEK MUD	Rehabilitate existing wells	\$ 350,000	898.33
6	MARLIN	Brushy Creek Reservoir	\$ 18,553,000	891.52
7	COUNTY-OTHER, WILLIAMSON	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 4,470,600	890.33
8	ABILENE	Wastewater reuse	\$ -	874.74
9	BISTONE MWSD	Limestone County Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer development	\$ 18,458,000	874.33
10	BISTONE MWSD	Limestone County Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer development	\$ -	874.33
11	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, BELL	Wastewater reuse	\$ 17,404,000	870.33
12	BELLMEAD	Wastewater reuse	\$ 3,234,000	862.33
13	GRANBURY	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 31,314,000	862.33
14	STAMFORD	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 13,662,000	862.33
15	LEE COUNTY WSC	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 2,166,000	854.33
16	CENTRAL TEXAS WSC	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 13,545,918	852.00
17	GRANGER	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 1,922,358	852.00
18	JARRELL	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 1,207,062	852.00
19	JARRELL-SCHWERTNER WSC	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 8,717,670	852.00
20	LITTLE RIVER-ACADEMY	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	842.33
21	MORGANS POINT RESORT	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	842.33
22	SOUTHWEST MILAM WSC	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 3,502,000	842.33
23	MANUFACTURING, WILLIAMSON	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	840.75
24	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JOHNSON	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	839.28
25	MANUFACTURING, LIMESTONE	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 347,000	834.33
26	TEMPLE	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 45,870,000	827.00
27	HUTTO	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 8,047,080	824.00
28	WICKSON CREEK SUD	Purchase water from City of Bryan	\$ 1,201,000	819.00
29	ABILENE	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 49,304,000	817.39
30	AQUA WSC	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 1,364,000	814.33
31	MANUFACTURING, NOLAN	Manufacturing water conservation	\$ -	811.00
32	PALO PINTO MWD #1	Turkey Peak Reservoir	\$ 50,227,000	806.33
33	BARTLETT	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 1,162,356	804.00
34	CROSS COUNTRY WSC	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ 3,545,000	804.00
35	MART	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ 6,960,000	804.00
36	MINERAL WELLS	Turkey Peak Reservoir	\$ -	804.00
37	NORTH BOSQUE WSC	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ 1,793,000	804.00
38	RIESEL	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ 1,326,000	804.00
39	THRALL	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 1,922,358	804.00
40	WEIR	BRA supply through the East Williamson County Regional Water Treatment System	\$ 3,710,598	804.00
41	WEST BRAZOS WSC	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ -	804.00
42	JAYTON	New water treatment plant	\$ 3,522,000	802.33
43	LACY-LAKEVIEW	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ -	802.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION G**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
44	MINING, NOLAN	Additional Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 679,000	802.33
45	OAK TRAIL SHORES SUBDIVISION	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	802.33
46	ABILENE	Cedar Ridge Reservoir	\$ 285,214,000	798.33
47	WACO	Wastewater reuse	\$ -	797.33
48	FLORENCE	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 1,648,000	797.00
49	WILLIAMSON-TRAVIS COUNTY MUD #1	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	794.33
50	JONAH WATER SUD	Groundwater/ surface water conjunctive use (Lake Granger Augmentation)	\$ -	785.80
51	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, BOSQUE	BRA system operations permit	\$ 24,725,000	782.42
52	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, SOMERVELL	BRA system operations permit	\$ 136,032,000	780.75
53	MANUFACTURING, LAMPASAS	Voluntary redistribution	\$ 971,000	780.36
54	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, SOMERVELL	Reallocation of source	\$ -	780.16
55	GEORGETOWN	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 50,722,000	777.18
56	COUNTY-OTHER, EASTLAND	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	774.33
57	BAIRD	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	772.33
58	POTOSI WSC	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	772.33
59	STEAMBOAT MOUNTAIN WSC	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	772.33
60	ROUND ROCK	Wastewater reuse	\$ 6,369,000	769.82
61	MERKEL	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	764.33
62	HALLSBURG	Interconnection of City of Waco system with neighboring communities	\$ 1,028,000	762.33
63	PALO PINTO MWD #1	New water treatment plant	\$ 35,822,000	762.33
64	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, NOLAN	Voluntary redistribution	\$ 91,940,000	762.33
65	HALLSBURG	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	760.44
66	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Groundwater/ surface water conjunctive use (Lake Granger Augmentation)	\$ 643,928,000	760.13
67	IRRIGATION, NOLAN	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	759.14
68	SWEETWATER	Conjunctive management of Champion well field and Oak Creek Reservoir with subordination agreement	\$ -	758.07
69	JOHNSON COUNTY SUD	BRA surface water and treatment system expansion	\$ 33,320,000	757.99
70	LACY-LAKEVIEW	Wastewater reuse	\$ 3,234,000	757.33
71	JARRELL-SCHWERTNER WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	757.12
72	COUNTY-OTHER, WILLIAMSON	Groundwater/ surface water conjunctive use (Lake Granger Augmentation)	\$ -	756.13
73	MANUFACTURING, MCLENNAN	Wastewater reuse	\$ 11,590,000	755.67
74	MINERAL WELLS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	755.05
75	KOSSE	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 2,386,000	754.33
76	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Belton to Stillhouse pipeline	\$ 36,038,000	753.31
77	CEDAR PARK	Regional surface waters supply to Williamson County from Lake Travis	\$ 61,858,000	752.51
78	LEANDER	Regional surface waters supply to Williamson County from Lake Travis	\$ 169,147,000	752.51
79	VALLEY MILLS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	749.33
80	SWEETWATER	Oak Creek Reservoir with subordination agreement	\$ -	749.24
81	ALBANY	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 228,000	749.00
82	KEENE	BRA system operations permit	\$ 3,062,000	742.42
83	IRRIGATION, EASTLAND	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	742.18
84	MINING, WILLIAMSON	Mining water conservation	\$ -	737.68
85	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MILAM	Steam-electric conservation	\$ -	732.67
86	BELL COUNTY WCID #1	Wastewater reuse	\$ 18,323,000	724.73
87	ROUND ROCK	Groundwater/ surface water conjunctive use (Lake Granger Augmentation)	\$ 229,822,000	724.13
88	IRRIGATION, SHACKELFORD	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	723.93
89	MUNDAY	Increase current contract	\$ -	723.84
90	WHITE BLUFF COMMUNITY WS	BRA system operations permit	\$ 9,277,000	722.42
91	WOODROW-OSCEOLA WSC	BRA system operations permit	\$ 7,231,000	722.42
92	MANUFACTURING, JOHNSON	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	721.06
93	STEPHENS COUNTY RURAL WSC	Midway pipeline project (West Central Brazos distribution system)	\$ 6,417,429	720.67

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION G

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
94	THROCKMORTON	Midway pipeline project (West Central Brazos distribution system)	\$ 3,096,409	720.67
95	FILES VALLEY WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	719.33
96	RISING STAR	Voluntary redistribution	\$ 262,000	719.00
97	GODLEY	BRA surface water and treatment system expansion	\$ 6,651,000	716.32
98	KNOX CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	715.37
99	CEDAR PARK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	714.61
100	GROESBECK	City of Groesbeck off-channel reservoir	\$ 10,412,000	714.33
101	IRRIGATION, KNOX	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	713.73
102	CLEBURNE	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 13,951,000	713.33
103	COUNTY-OTHER, SHACKELFORD	Midway pipeline project (West Central Brazos distribution system)	\$ 4,010,893	712.67
104	CHISHOLM TRAIL SUD	Groundwater/ surface water conjunctive use (Lake Granger Augmentation)	\$ -	712.13
105	ROUND ROCK	Regional surface waters supply to Williamson County from Lake Travis	\$ 147,264,000	710.84
106	MANUFACTURING, JOHNSON	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	710.75
107	ABILENE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	708.51
108	MINING, NOLAN	Mining water conservation	\$ -	706.83
109	CHISHOLM TRAIL SUD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	704.46
110	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, ROBERTSON	Steam-electric conservation	\$ -	703.80
111	COLLEGE STATION	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	703.52
112	IRRIGATION, HASKELL	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	701.37
113	CLEBURNE	Wastewater reuse	\$ 5,495,500	701.33
114	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, LIMESTONE	Steam-electric conservation	\$ -	700.50
115	ALBANY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	699.33
116	ALVARADO	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrifting)	\$ -	699.00
117	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, BOSQUE	Steam-electric conservation	\$ -	698.77
118	STRAWN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	698.61
119	GLEN ROSE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	697.39
120	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JOHNSON	Steam-electric conservation	\$ -	697.28
121	MANUFACTURING, JOHNSON	Manufacturing water conservation	\$ -	697.14
122	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GRIMES	Steam-electric conservation	\$ -	695.97
123	MANUFACTURING, WILLIAMSON	Manufacturing water conservation	\$ -	695.81
124	BELL-MILAM FALLS WSC	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	695.67
125	MANUFACTURING, LAMPASAS	Manufacturing water conservation	\$ -	695.41
126	MINING, STEPHENS	Mining water conservation	\$ -	695.37
127	KEMPNER WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	695.04
128	BLOCKHOUSE MUD	Increase current contract	\$ -	694.33
129	MANUFACTURING, LIMESTONE	Manufacturing water conservation	\$ -	694.12
130	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GRIMES	Wastewater reuse	\$ 33,647,000	693.42
131	JOHNSON COUNTY SUD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	691.80
132	ROUND ROCK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	691.06
133	COUNTY-OTHER, THROCKMORTON	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	691.00
134	WHITE BLUFF COMMUNITY WS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	690.71
135	BRUSHY CREEK MUD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	689.19
136	CLEBURNE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	688.69
137	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, LIMESTONE	Reallocation of source	\$ -	687.62
138	GATESVILLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	685.14
139	BARTLETT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	684.18
140	NORTH BOSQUE WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	683.59
141	FLORENCE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	683.10
142	CLEBURNE	Phase I Lake Whitney water supply project	\$ 41,453,000	681.33
143	MARLIN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	680.51
144	LIBERTY HILL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	678.97
145	GEORGETOWN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	676.43
146	CLEBURNE	New West Loop reuse line	\$ 5,495,500	675.00
147	LEANDER	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	674.61
148	TOLAR	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	674.50
149	TOLAR	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrifting)	\$ 1,286,000	674.33
150	WEIR	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	673.60

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION G

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
151	GRANBURY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	671.42
152	LIPAN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	671.35
153	CHISHOLM TRAIL SUD	Regional surface waters supply to Williamson County from Lake Travis	\$ 13,264,000	670.84
154	LIBERTY HILL	Regional surface waters supply to Williamson County from Lake Travis	\$ -	670.84
155	IRRIGATION, KNOX	Aquifer storage and recovery (Brazos River to Seymour Aquifer)	\$ 19,312,500	669.46
156	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GRIMES	Raise level of Gibbons Creek Reservoir	\$ 12,140,600	668.98
157	SWEETWATER	Expansion of Champion well field	\$ 15,015,000	667.82
158	HASKELL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	664.40
159	FORT BELKNAPP WSC	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	655.67
160	BAIRD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	653.59
161	MUNDAY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	652.55
162	BISTONE MWSD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	650.62
163	IRRIGATION, HASKELL	Aquifer storage and recovery (Brazos River to Seymour Aquifer)	\$ 19,312,500	648.20
164	COUNTY-OTHER, CORYELL	Coryell County Reservoir (BRA System)	\$ 7,868,834	644.34
165	GATESVILLE	Coryell County Reservoir (BRA System)	\$ 6,530,166	644.34
166	LIPAN	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 8,524,000	642.33
167	COUNTY-OTHER, SOMERVELL	Somervell County water supply project (phases 1-4)	\$ 7,181,520	639.61
168	HEWITT	Wastewater reuse	\$ 15,421,731	635.67
169	LORENA	Wastewater reuse	\$ 5,649,170	635.67
170	VALLEY MILLS	Bosque County regional project	\$ 5,150,000	634.33
171	THROCKMORTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	631.63
172	SWEETWATER	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	621.34
173	CLEBURNE	Future phases of Lake Whitney water supply project	\$ 110,843,000	621.33
174	JAYTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	621.16
175	GLEN ROSE	Somervell County water supply project (phases 1-4)	\$ 11,969,200	619.61
176	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, SOMERVELL	Somervell County water supply project (phases 1-4)	\$ 10,772,280	619.61
177	MINING, MILAM	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 715,000	619.00
178	COLLEGE STATION	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 10,036,071	617.73
179	LIBERTY HILL	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	612.98
180	TYE	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	605.67
181	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Coryell County Reservoir (BRA System)	\$ 37,489,000	602.68
182	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, ROBERTSON	Wastewater reuse	\$ 23,126,000	593.19
183	STRAWN	Voluntary redistribution	\$ 5,158,000	582.33
184	WESTERN HILLS WS	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 1,073,000	582.33
185	AQUILLA WSD	Storage reallocation of federal reservoirs - Lake Aquilla	\$ -	560.50
186	IRRIGATION, THROCKMORTON	Run-of-River Water Right for Unappropriated Flows	\$ -	545.67
187	CHALK BLUFF WSC	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 2,707,000	542.33
188	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MILAM	Additional Carrizo Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 3,160,000	542.08
189	COUNTY-OTHER, SOMERVELL	Somervell County water supply project (phases 5-13)	\$ 40,083,120	540.17
190	GLEN ROSE	Somervell County water supply project (phases 5-13)	\$ 20,041,560	520.17
191	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, SOMERVELL	Somervell County water supply project (phases 5-13)	\$ 14,103,320	520.17
192	KEMPNER WSC	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	513.82
193	COLLEGE STATION	BRA system operations permit	\$ 23,954,000	512.75
194	ROBINSON	Increase treatment capacity	\$ 4,554,000	507.00
195	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Storage reallocation of federal reservoirs - Lake Aquilla	\$ 11,447,000	502.84
196	BRYAN	Wastewater reuse	\$ 6,485,000	495.67
197	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Stonewall, Kent, and Garza chloride control project	\$ 163,226,000	485.67
198	PARKER WSC	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 2,045,000	474.33
199	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GRIMES	Additional Gulf Coast Aquifer development	\$ 31,630,000	431.76
200	COLLEGE STATION	Wastewater reuse	\$ 4,583,000	414.81
201	COUNTY-OTHER, WILLIAMSON	Additional Trinity Aquifer development (includes overdrafting)	\$ 1,995,000	411.25

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION G**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
202	BRYAN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	386.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION H**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to WHCRWA contract	\$ -	958.33
2	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	CHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ -	950.33
3	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	CHCRWA internal distribution	\$ -	950.33
4	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	CHCRWA transmission line	\$ -	950.33
5	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	NFBWA Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ -	950.33
6	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	NFBWA shared transmission line	\$ 213,000,000	950.33
7	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ -	950.33
8	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ -	942.33
9	BAYTOWN	Municipal conservation	\$ -	938.33
10	DEER PARK	Municipal conservation	\$ -	938.33
11	PECAN GROVE MUD #1	Municipal conservation	\$ -	938.33
12	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	NFBWA internal distribution	\$ 225,000,000	930.33
13	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA transmission 2010	\$ 80,690,624	930.33
14	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA transmission 2020	\$ 172,558,512	930.33
15	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA transmission 2030	\$ -	930.33
16	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	WHCRWA transmission line	\$ 290,084,193	930.33
17	FORT BEND COUNTY WCID #2	Fort Bend County WCID #2 Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ 24,828,857	912.00
18	IRRIGATION, FORT BEND	Irrigation conservation	\$ 61,711	902.33
19	BOLIVAR PENINSULAR SUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	898.33
20	LAKE LIVINGSTON WATER SUPPLY & SEWER SERVICE COMPANY	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	896.67
21	MANUFACTURING, MONTGOMERY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 4,777,069	896.67
22	MINING, MONTGOMERY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 742,228	896.67
23	PASADENA	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	896.67
24	NORMANGEE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	893.19
25	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA internal 2010 distribution	\$ 153,149,640	890.33
26	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA internal 2020 distribution	\$ 345,292,192	890.33
27	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	NHCRWA internal 2030 distribution	\$ 37,439,584	890.33
28	LONGHORN TOWN UD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 3,756,206	889.92
29	HILLCREST	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	887.92
30	EL DORADO UD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,239,025	887.05
31	HOUSTON	City of Houston distribution expansion	\$ 261,040,000	886.33
32	IRRIGATION, CHAMBERS	Irrigation conservation	\$ 279,330	881.77
33	WOODBANCH	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 454,802	879.71
34	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #2	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 640,909	879.36
35	PATTON VILLAGE	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 230,942	879.26
36	MONTGOMERY COUNTY WCID #1	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,215,683	878.16
37	HARRIS COUNTY UD #14	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,556,495	877.56
38	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #11	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,279,090	877.55
39	GREEN TRAILS MUD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,831,586	877.44
40	HARRIS COUNTY UD #15	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,337,944	877.23
41	NORTH BELT UD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,180,544	876.45
42	WOODCREEK MUD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,990,980	876.16
43	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #154	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,685,245	876.14
44	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #153	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 6,514,003	876.14
45	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #189	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 3,083,891	875.94
46	SPLENDORA	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 970,800	875.89
47	NORTH GREEN MUD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 876,399	875.45
48	HOUSTON	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	875.14
49	POINT AQUARIUS MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 4,698,957	870.46

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION H**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
50	MAGNOLIA	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 2,457,095	870.46
51	NEW CANEY MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 6,131,759	866.71
52	PASADENA	City of Houston to City of Pasadena contract	\$ -	866.30
53	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	863.06
54	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	862.53
55	IRRIGATION, CHAMBERS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ -	861.72
56	DAISETTA	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	861.30
57	IRRIGATION, LIBERTY	Irrigation conservation	\$ 188,718	860.67
58	NEW WAVERLY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	859.12
59	PECAN GROVE MUD #1	Pecan Grove Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ 15,960,000	858.33
60	SEALY	City of Sealy groundwater treatment expansion	\$ 6,450,000	858.33
61	SEALY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	858.33
62	NORTH CHANNEL WATER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to NCWA contract	\$ -	858.28
63	RICHMOND-ROSENBERG	Cities of Richmond-Rosenberg Groundwater Reduction Plan - West Fort Bend surface water treatment plant	\$ 117,220,150	850.33
64	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	WHCRWA internal distribution	\$ 552,472,000	850.33
65	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Contract with WHCRWA	\$ 44,753,636	850.21
66	COUNTY-OTHER, LEON	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	848.33
67	HOUSTON	City of Houston treatment expansion	\$ 2,045,672,161	846.33
68	LIBERTY	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	842.93
69	CLUTE	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	840.31
70	HUNTSVILLE	City of Huntsville water treatment plant	\$ 61,023,906	838.33
71	DANBURY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	838.15
72	CHAMBERS-LIBERTY COUNTIES NAVIGATION DISTRICT	CLCND West Chambers System	\$ 20,380,000	837.67
73	HOUSTON	Luce Bayou transfer	\$ 253,916,914	834.56
74	ARCOLA	NFBWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 935,300	834.53
75	NORTHWEST HARRIS COUNTY MUD #23	NHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,462,367	834.38
76	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #152	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 3,611,948	834.36
77	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #132	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 7,140,215	834.27
78	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #180	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,349,383	834.25
79	TOMBALL	NHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 12,543,073	833.10
80	KATY	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 16,214,279	833.00
81	COUNTY-OTHER, MADISON	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	832.93
82	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 5,414,850	831.54
83	SOUTHSIDE PLACE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	831.19
84	GALENA PARK	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	829.83
85	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	BRA to City of Sugar Land contract	\$ -	828.98
86	SUGAR LAND	BRA to City of Sugar Land contract	\$ -	828.98
87	JERSEY VILLAGE	NHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,809,145	828.55
88	THE WOODLANDS	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 26,522,191	827.87
89	ROSENBERG	Municipal conservation	\$ -	827.45
90	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #119 INWOOD NORTH	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,563,834	825.63
91	MASON CREEK UD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 3,946,995	825.59
92	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #133	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,301,473	825.57
93	WEST HARRIS COUNTY MUD #6	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 979,608	825.41
94	MISSOURI CITY	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	824.35
95	NORTHWEST PARK MUD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 5,783,544	823.60
96	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #23	Municipal conservation	\$ -	823.04
97	RICHMOND	Municipal conservation	\$ -	822.84
98	AMES	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 266,289	822.33
99	BAYTOWN	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 91,907	822.33
100	CLEVELAND	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 443,014	822.33
101	DAISETTA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 42,421	822.33
102	DAYTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 4,970,872	822.33
103	HARDIN	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 233,300	822.33
104	HARDIN WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,253,378	822.33
105	KENEFICK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 209,734	822.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
106	LIBERTY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 280,423	822.33
107	MANUFACTURING, LIBERTY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 808,253	822.33
108	MERCY WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 570,266	822.33
109	MINING, LIBERTY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 709,291	822.33
110	PLUM GROVE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 419,458	822.33
111	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, LIBERTY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 12,020,322	822.33
112	WEST HARDIN WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 80,123	822.33
113	PEARLAND	Municipal conservation	\$ -	821.26
114	PORTER WSC	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 4,494,176	821.03
115	WALLER	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	820.98
116	GALVESTON	Contract with City of Galveston	\$ 10,542,328	820.67
117	ARCOLA	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	820.08
118	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #25	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	819.91
119	BUFFALO	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	819.28
120	FULSHEAR	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	819.25
121	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #81	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	819.15
122	COUNTY-OTHER, FORT BEND	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	818.15
123	ROMAN FOREST	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 3,918,339	817.21
124	WALLIS	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	816.74
125	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #50	Harris County MUD #50 water treatment plant	\$ 6,131,600	816.67
126	LAKE LIVINGSTON WATER SUPPLY & SEWER SERVICE COMPANY	Lake Livingston Water Supply and Sewer Service Corporation surface water project	\$ 3,087,974	816.67
127	PEARLAND	City of Pearland surface water treatment plant	\$ 265,000,000	816.67
128	CLEVELAND	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	815.34
129	STAGECOACH	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 568,408	814.26
130	LIVESTOCK, BRAZORIA	New groundwater wells for livestock	\$ 18,635	813.58
131	CRYSTAL SPRNGS WATER COMPANY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 3,058,693	812.40
132	MADISONVILLE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	810.43
133	COUNTY-OTHER, AUSTIN	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	810.24
134	MISSOURI CITY	Contract with City of Missouri City	\$ -	810.23
135	CONROE	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 32,378,451	806.38
136	IOWA COLONY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	805.63
137	BAYOU VISTA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 9,427	802.33
138	BEASLEY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 212,090	802.33
139	BELLVILLE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,640,915	802.33
140	CLEAR BROOK CITY MUD WOODMEADOWS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 89,549	802.33
141	COLDSRING	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 186,170	802.33
142	COUNTY-OTHER, AUSTIN	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 172,030	802.33
143	COUNTY-OTHER, MADISON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 426,512	802.33
144	CROSBY MUD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 63,627	802.33
145	FRIENDSWOOD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 94,262	802.33
146	GALVESTON COUNTY MUD #1	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 18,853	802.33
147	JAMAICA BEACH	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 16,496	802.33
148	KENDLETON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 914,183	802.33
149	LA PORTE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 176,738	802.33
150	MADISONVILLE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 299,282	802.33
151	MANUFACTURING, AUSTIN	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 242,726	802.33
152	MANUFACTURING, GALVESTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,420,055	802.33
153	MANUFACTURING, LEON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,411,137	802.33
154	MANUFACTURING, MADISON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 325,201	802.33
155	MANUFACTURING, SAN JACINTO	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 47,131	802.33
156	MANUFACTURING, WALKER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 6,024,477	802.33
157	MANUFACTURING, WALLER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 129,613	802.33
158	MINING, AUSTIN	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 37,706	802.33
159	MINING, POLK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 14,141	802.33
160	NEEDVILLE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,665,869	802.33
161	ONALASKA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 320,489	802.33
162	ONALASKA WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 35,349	802.33
163	PINE ISLAND	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 443,019	802.33
164	POINT BLANK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 63,628	802.33
165	PRAIRIE VIEW	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,561,875	802.33
166	SAN FELIPE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 122,542	802.33
167	SAN JACINTO WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 426,514	802.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
168	SHEPHERD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 306,343	802.33
169	SHOREACRES	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 7,070	802.33
170	SOUTH HOUSTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 110,758	802.33
171	WALKER COUNTY RURAL WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 344,031	802.33
172	WALLIS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 84,837	802.33
173	WEBSTER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 318,106	802.33
174	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to CHCRWA contract	\$ -	802.13
175	CENTERVILLE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	801.06
176	BACLIF MUD	Contract with GCWA	\$ 1,162,319	800.67
177	SAN LEON MUD	Contract with GCWA	\$ 2,189,171	800.67
178	TEXAS CITY	Contract with GCWA	\$ 13,660,907	800.67
179	ANGLETON	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	800.17
180	SWEENEY	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	799.83
181	ALVIN	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	798.13
182	BAILEY'S PRAIRIE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 37,706	797.43
183	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to NHRWA contract	\$ -	795.24
184	COUNTY-OTHER, SAN JACINTO	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	794.23
185	SIMONTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,163,829	794.12
186	HARRIS COUNTY UD #15	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	792.77
187	GREEN TRAILS MUD	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	792.56
188	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #11	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	792.45
189	HARRIS COUNTY UD #14	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	792.44
190	COUNTY-OTHER, LIBERTY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 7,110,457	791.57
191	SUGAR LAND	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ 82,576,224	790.98
192	SUGAR LAND	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan - reuse	\$ 78,783,825	790.98
193	MISSOURI CITY	City of Missouri City Groundwater Reduction Plan	\$ 24,003,201	790.01
194	MISSOURI CITY	City of Missouri City Groundwater Reduction Plan - aquifer storage and recovery	\$ 58,967,437	790.01
195	MISSOURI CITY	City of Missouri City Groundwater Reduction Plan - reuse	\$ 9,100,352	790.01
196	CLUTE	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 1,008,353	789.28
197	COUNTY-OTHER, WALLER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 3,377,200	789.21
198	BROOKSIDE VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	789.15
199	ANGLETON	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 1,557,884	788.74
200	POINT BLANK	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	788.06
201	JEWETT	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	786.66
202	WEST UNIVERSITY PL.	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	784.38
203	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #151	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,188,073	783.84
204	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #46	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,407,012	783.83
205	ORBIT SYSTEMS INC	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	783.72
206	COLDSPRING	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	783.60
207	SURFSIDE BEACH	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	783.49
208	BEASLEY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	782.59
209	TRAIL OF THE LAKES MUD	WHCRWA Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 2,358,060	782.36
210	SIENNA PLANTATION MUD #2	Contract with City of Missouri City	\$ 1,408,669	782.14
211	HARDIN	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	782.08
212	SIENNA PLANTATION MUD #2	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	781.63
213	COUNTY-OTHER, LIBERTY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	781.62
214	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Contract with CHCRWA	\$ 1,867,449	780.92
215	BRAZORIA	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 347,378	780.67
216	GALVESTON	GCWA to City of Galveston contract	\$ -	780.67
217	LONGHORN TOWN UD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	780.08
218	SAN FELIPE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	779.44
219	PRAIRIE VIEW	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	779.26
220	BRAZORIA COUNTY MUD #3	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,791,390	778.98
221	BRAZORIA COUNTY MUD #1	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 3,874,222	778.91
222	HEMPSTEAD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 8,233,457	778.40
223	BRAZORIA COUNTY MUD #2	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 4,836,230	778.26
224	SHEPHERD	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	777.92
225	IRRIGATION, GALVESTON	Contract with GCWA	\$ -	777.24
226	KEMAH	Contract with GCWA	\$ 523,817	776.48

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
227	AMES	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	776.08
228	COUNTY-OTHER, WALLER	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	774.80
229	HILSHIRE VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	774.29
230	MERCY WSC	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	772.54
231	COUNTY-OTHER, HARRIS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 7,549,158	771.72
232	HARDIN WSC	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	771.45
233	LAKE JACKSON	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 2,972,940	771.09
234	CONSUMERS WATER INC	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 841,177	770.62
235	BROOKSHIRE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,471,440	770.55
236	VARNER CREEK UD	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	770.35
237	PLEAK	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	770.20
238	HUMBLE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 4,504,288	769.90
239	IRRIGATION, LIBERTY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ -	769.82
240	ONALASKA	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	769.78
241	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #108	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 634,307	769.72
242	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	769.51
243	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #69	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 546,336	769.47
244	MEADOWS	Contract with Fort Bend County WCID #2	\$ 2,049,847	769.03
245	DICKINSON	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	768.92
246	KENEFICK	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	768.81
247	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Contract with NHCRWA	\$ 42,207,965	768.68
248	LEAGUE CITY	Contract with GCWA	\$ 6,740,842	768.48
249	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #111	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 811,605	768.19
250	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 975,437	768.04
251	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #67	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 852,071	768.04
252	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #36	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	767.95
253	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #68	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 654,586	767.67
254	WEST HARDIN WSC	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	766.80
255	IRRIGATION, BRAZORIA	Brazoria County interruptible supplies for irrigation	\$ -	766.09
256	SAN JACINTO RIVER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to SJRA contract	\$ -	765.11
257	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #47	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	765.00
258	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #21	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	764.89
259	SUNBELT FWSD	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	764.23
260	SUGAR LAND	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	763.97
261	PLUM GROVE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	763.90
262	PLEAK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,505,149	763.80
263	VARNER CREEK UD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 697,489	763.65
264	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #1	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	763.40
265	HOUSTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,421,029	762.40
266	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #8	Montgomery MUD #8/9 indirect reuse	\$ 5,837,866	762.26
267	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	Montgomery MUD #8/9 indirect reuse	\$ 6,407,821	762.26
268	BELLAIRE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 1,679,461	762.23
269	PINE ISLAND	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	762.19
270	SOUTHERN MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	761.37
271	H M W SUD	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	761.34
272	SEABROOK	Contract with City of Pasadena	\$ 2,551,683	761.05
273	CONROE	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	761.00
274	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 32,798,932	760.80
275	OYSTER CREEK	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	760.68
276	GALVESTON COUNTY WCID #12	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 9,427	760.67
277	PASADENA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 833,945	760.67
278	SAN LEON MUD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 11,783	760.67
279	TIKI ISLAND	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 14,139	760.67
280	MANUFACTURING, CHAMBERS	Contract with TRA	\$ 104,032,121	759.81
281	KENDLETON	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	759.43
282	CUT AND SHOOT	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	757.98
283	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	Contract with NFBWA	\$ 44,964,481	757.66
284	NEW CANEY MUD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	757.50
285	ANGLETON	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 497,284	757.47
286	PANORAMA VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	757.21
287	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	757.02
288	PATTON VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	756.98

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
289	EAST PLANTATION UD	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	756.92
290	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	756.38
291	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	756.23
292	WOODBANCH	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	756.01
293	MONTGOMERY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	755.98
294	MAGNOLIA	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	755.60
295	SPLENDORA	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	755.43
296	COUNTY-OTHER, POLK	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	754.95
297	HUMBLE	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	754.69
298	NORTH GREEN MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	754.55
299	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #189	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	754.06
300	STAGECOACH	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	753.98
301	DICKINSON	Contract with Galveston County WCID #1	\$ 1,807,960	753.88
302	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #153	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	753.86
303	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #154	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	753.86
304	WOODCREEK MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	753.84
305	KATY	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	753.66
306	TOMBALL	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	753.57
307	NORTH BELT UD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	753.55
308	MISSOURI CITY	GCWA to City of Missouri City contract	\$ -	753.17
309	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #84	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	753.14
310	LIVESTOCK, GALVESTON	New groundwater wells for livestock	\$ -	752.53
311	BUFFALO	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 124,896	752.33
312	CENTERVILLE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 49,488	752.33
313	COUNTY-OTHER, LEON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 117,828	752.33
314	COUNTY-OTHER, SAN JACINTO	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,246,221	752.33
315	FLO COMMUNITY WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 376,981	752.33
316	JEWETT	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 127,253	752.33
317	NEW WAVERLY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 58,915	752.33
318	NORMANGEE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 63,628	752.33
319	MINING, CHAMBERS	Contract with TRA	\$ 145,447,351	752.21
320	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #53	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	751.97
321	LEAGUE CITY	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	751.81
322	ROLLING FORK PUD	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	751.02
323	FOUNTAINVIEW SUBDIVISION	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	750.89
324	CANDLELIGHT HILLS SUBDIVISION	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	750.79
325	BRITMOORE UTILITIES	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	750.78
326	BELLAIRE	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	750.59
327	SURFSIDE BEACH	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 207,377	750.51
328	MANUFACTURING, FORT BEND	Industrial conservation	\$ -	749.66
329	FORT BEND COUNTY WCID #2	GCWA to Fort Bend County WCID #2 contract	\$ -	749.03
330	FREEPORT	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 2,139,694	747.38
331	SEABROOK	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	747.36
332	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	746.71
333	NORTHWEST PARK MUD	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	746.40
334	COUNTY-OTHER, BRAZORIA	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	746.06
335	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #25	Fort Bend County MUD #25 Groundwater Reduction Plan - reuse	\$ 776,145	745.61
336	BROOKSIDE VILLAGE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 292,211	744.85
337	LIVESTOCK, GALVESTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 17,945	744.28
338	BLUE BELL MANOR UTILITY COMPANY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	742.96
339	EL DORADO UD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	742.95
340	ORBIT SYSTEMS INC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 841,227	742.85
341	KEMAH	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	742.80
342	LAKE JACKSON	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	742.74
343	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #158	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	742.36
344	FREEPORT	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	742.17
345	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #106	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 926,837	741.12
346	MONT BELVIEU	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	740.89
347	BEACH CITY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	740.75
348	RIVER PLANTATION MUD	River Plantation Groundwater Reduction Plan - reuse	\$ 484,926	739.10
349	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #51	Municipal conservation	\$ -	738.66

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
350	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	BRA system operations permit	\$ -	738.54
351	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #261	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	737.66
352	IRRIGATION, GALVESTON	Irrigation conservation	\$ 29,422	737.43
353	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #19	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	737.15
354	SAN JACINTO RIVER AUTHORITY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan	\$ 900,000,000	736.89
355	RIVERSIDE WSC	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	736.23
356	CLEAR LAKE SHORES	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	736.05
357	RICHWOOD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	736.02
358	FLO COMMUNITY WSC	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	734.83
359	GALVESTON COUNTY WCID #1	GCWA to Galveston County WCID #1 contract	\$ -	733.88
360	HOUSTON	City of Houston to NFBWA contract	\$ -	733.82
361	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to NFBWA contract	\$ -	733.82
362	OYSTER CREEK	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 275,064	732.75
363	PLANTATION MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	731.72
364	MEADOWS	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	731.65
365	COUNTY-OTHER, FORT BEND	City of Missouri City Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 4,467,355	731.62
366	CHIMNEY HILL MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	730.95
367	BELLVILLE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	730.91
368	CRYSTAL SPRNGS WATER COMPANY	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	730.73
369	JACINTO CITY	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	729.97
370	WALKER COUNTY RURAL WSC	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	729.61
371	CUT AND SHOOT	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 705,001	728.45
372	IOWA COLONY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 91,907	728.38
373	WILLIS	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,887,989	728.21
374	OAK RIDGE NORTH	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,888,616	728.19
375	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #19	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 796,560	728.07
376	H M W SUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 4,677,840	727.94
377	SOUTHERN MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 3,650,254	727.79
378	RAYFORD ROAD MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 3,442,156	727.63
379	IRRIGATION, BRAZORIA	Irrigation conservation	\$ 198,255	727.62
380	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #8	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	726.67
381	SEALY	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	726.23
382	DAYTON	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	726.07
383	RICHWOOD	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 347,561	724.99
384	COUNTY-OTHER, BRAZORIA	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 14,149,011	724.56
385	BLUE BELL MANOR UTILITY COMPANY	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 972,541	723.99
386	BROOKSHIRE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	723.45
387	COUNTY-OTHER, GALVESTON	Contract with GCWA	\$ 24,107,245	720.67
388	GALVESTON COUNTY WCID #12	Contract with GCWA	\$ 21,443,918	720.67
389	HITCHCOCK	Contract with GCWA	\$ 1,993,269	720.67
390	TIKI ISLAND	Contract with GCWA	\$ 6,788,454	720.67
391	DEER PARK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 9,427	720.34
392	OAK RIDGE NORTH	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	719.70
393	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #3	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	719.58
394	WILLIS	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	719.58
395	SHENANDOAH	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	719.38
396	SPRING CREEK UD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	719.20
397	RICHWOOD	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 234,194	719.06
398	MONTGOMERY COUNTY WCID #1	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	718.69
399	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #18	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	718.43
400	POINT AQUARIUS MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	717.88
401	PINE TRAILS UTILITY	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	717.50
402	ROMAN FOREST	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	717.23
403	CRYSTAL SPRNGS WATER COMPANY	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	716.96
404	BRAZORIA COUNTY MUD #2	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	715.74
405	HEMPSTEAD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	715.60
406	BRAZORIA COUNTY MUD #1	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	715.09
407	BRAZORIA COUNTY MUD #3	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	715.02
408	COUNTY-OTHER, WALKER	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	715.00
409	CONSUMERS WATER INC	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	714.07
410	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #50	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,097,660	713.28
411	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #108	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	713.19

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
412	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #106	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	713.03
413	SPRING VALLEY	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	712.71
414	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #180	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	712.42
415	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #132	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	712.39
416	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #152	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	712.31
417	NORTHWEST HARRIS COUNTY MUD #23	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	712.29
418	SEABROOK	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 2,564,531	712.16
419	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #6	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	712.03
420	NEEDVILLE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	711.77
421	FAIRCHILDS	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	711.66
422	THE WOODLANDS	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	711.26
423	RAYFORD ROAD MUD	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	711.18
424	FIRST COLONY MUD #9	City of Missouri City Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 916,985	710.82
425	PORTER WSC	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	710.13
426	HUNTERS CREEK VILLAGE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,928,245	710.01
427	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #6	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 405,886	709.49
428	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #53	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 2,099,015	709.46
429	PINEY POINT VILLAGE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,371,563	708.56
430	BELLAIRE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 2,839,606	708.22
431	OLD RIVER-WINFREE	Contract with CLCND	\$ 2,465,107	708.19
432	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #23	City of Missouri City Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 1,234,366	707.90
433	PARKWAY UD	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 486,037	707.86
434	BAYTOWN AREA WATER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to Baytown Area Water Authority contract	\$ -	707.27
435	SPRING CREEK UD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,934,935	706.71
436	EL LAGO	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 573,047	706.50
437	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #2	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	706.45
438	HEDWIG VILLAGE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 881,106	706.19
439	CANDLELIGHT HILLS SUBDIVISION	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 786,078	705.92
440	PINEY POINT VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	705.63
441	BRITMOORE UTILITIES	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 804,698	705.61
442	HUNTERS CREEK VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	705.37
443	PEARLAND	Contract with GCWA	\$ -	704.89
444	PINE TRAILS UTILITY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 627,231	704.80
445	WEST HARRIS COUNTY MUD #6	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	704.59
446	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #133	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	704.43
447	MASON CREEK UD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	704.41
448	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #119 INWOOD NORTH	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	704.37
449	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Contract with CHRWA	\$ 2,048,820	704.35
450	TRAIL OF THE LAKES MUD	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	704.30
451	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #84	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 446,950	703.78
452	FULSHEAR	Fulshear reuse	\$ 566,625	703.57
453	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #19	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 204,988	702.48
454	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #76	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 29,815	702.45
455	CUT AND SHOOT	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 117,822	702.39
456	PARKWAY UD	Contract with City of Houston	\$ -	701.84
457	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #5	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 66,961	701.73
458	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #345	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 183,537	701.63
459	WILLOW RUN SUBDIVISION	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 70,561	701.56
460	OLD RIVER-WINFREE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	701.53
461	WILLIS	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 329,778	701.52
462	OAK RIDGE NORTH	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 369,782	701.50
463	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #261	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 112,392	701.41
464	HUMBLE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 2,942,206	701.29
465	ROLLING FORK PUD	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 957,246	701.21
466	SOUTHERN MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,289,800	700.89
467	RAYFORD ROAD MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,037,129	700.89
468	H M W SUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 915,989	700.89
469	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #50	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	700.80
470	WINDFERN FOREST UD	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 111,403	700.72
471	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #76	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	700.69

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
472	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #21	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 690,297	700.13
473	SPRING VALLEY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,164,476	699.84
474	PLANTATION MUD	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ 557,185	699.38
475	BUNKER HILL VILLAGE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 909,963	699.27
476	HEDWIG VILLAGE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 17,663	698.94
477	ROLLING FORK PUD	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 234,202	698.91
478	MONT BELVIEU	Contract with CLCND	\$ 19,160,183	698.64
479	SPRING VALLEY	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 289,847	698.30
480	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #36	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 675,184	697.84
481	EL LAGO	Contract with City of Pasadena	\$ 55,583	697.45
482	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	697.24
483	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #345	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,683,538	696.53
484	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #5	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 826,178	696.43
485	WILLOW RUN SUBDIVISION	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 837,787	696.30
486	BEACH CITY	Contract with CLCND	\$ 6,047,471	695.93
487	EL LAGO	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	695.92
488	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #50	Contract with City of Pasadena	\$ 311,281	695.17
489	PEARLAND	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 4,916,347	694.91
490	HEDWIG VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	694.87
491	FOUNTAINVIEW SUBDIVISION	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 300,428	694.81
492	BRITMOORE UTILITIES	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 473,016	694.66
493	CANDLELIGHT HILLS SUBDIVISION	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 479,858	694.48
494	SUNBELT FWSD	City of Houston Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 13,356,470	694.08
495	LAKE JACKSON	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 2,893,186	693.76
496	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Allens Creek Reservoir	\$ 66,825,720	693.47
497	HOUSTON	Allens Creek Reservoir	\$ 155,926,680	693.47
498	PINEY POINT VILLAGE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 250,132	692.89
499	COUNTY-OTHER, CHAMBERS	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	692.83
500	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #261	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 982,728	691.64
501	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #81	Contract with BRA	\$ 20,877,667	691.52
502	PARKWAY UD	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	691.48
503	FAIRCHILDS	Contract with BRA	\$ 9,374,505	691.01
504	WINDFERN FOREST UD	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,143,811	689.92
505	HUNTERS CREEK VILLAGE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 567,874	689.70
506	BUNKER HILL VILLAGE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ -	689.58
507	MANVEL	Municipal conservation - large water user group	\$ -	689.33
508	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #51	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 717,885	689.02
509	COUNTY-OTHER, TRINITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 82,479	689.00
510	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #84	Contract with NCWA	\$ 24,187	688.97
511	BEACH CITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	688.40
512	BRAZOSPORT WATER AUTHORITY	BWA Brackish Groundwater Plant	\$ 30,570,395	687.83
513	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 10,783,239	687.62
514	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HARRIS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 18,645,352	687.54
515	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #50	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	687.08
516	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GALVESTON	Contract with GCWA	\$ 52,675,432	686.84
517	CLEAR LAKE SHORES	Contract with GCWA	\$ 975,863	685.68
518	MONT BELVIEU	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	685.42
519	JACINTO CITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 51,844	685.24
520	WEST UNIVERSITY PL.	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 914,543	685.19
521	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,085,554	685.02
522	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #6	Contract with NCWA	\$ 266,919	682.16
523	SPRING CREEK UD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 313,303	681.79
524	WALLER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,602,145	680.70
525	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GALVESTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	679.82
526	MINING, BRAZORIA	Contract with BRA	\$ 15,168,210	679.31
527	MINING, GALVESTON	Contract with LNVA	\$ 405,835	679.25
528	IRRIGATION, WALLER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 327,148	679.00
529	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #8	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 407,603	678.56
530	JERSEY VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	678.12
531	MANUFACTURING, FORT BEND	Contract with Fort Bend County WCID #1	\$ 1,815,739	677.95
532	LIVESTOCK, GALVESTON	Interim strategies	\$ 9,662	677.33
533	PINE TRAILS UTILITY	Contract with NCWA	\$ 362,312	675.94
534	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #53	Contract with NCWA	\$ 2,143,945	675.42

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
535	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #21	Contract with NCWA	\$ 284,811	675.07
536	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #68	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	675.00
537	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #111	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	674.84
538	FIRST COLONY MUD #9	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	674.42
539	IRRIGATION, LIBERTY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 53,837	674.41
540	FREEPORT	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 1,714,929	674.06
541	COUNTY-OTHER, POLK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 838,828	672.85
542	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 12,395,510	672.58
543	SUGAR LAND	Contract with City of Sugar Land	\$ -	672.37
544	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 26,789,272	672.27
545	PANORAMA VILLAGE	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,219,429	672.21
546	SHENANDOAH	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 4,431,902	672.13
547	EAST PLANTATION UD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,818,729	669.93
548	WINDFERN FOREST UD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	669.81
549	STANLEY LAKE MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	669.26
550	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #4	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	669.13
551	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #8	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	668.96
552	COUNTY-OTHER, FORT BEND	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 4,470,689	668.80
553	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #67	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 270,984	668.67
554	RIVER PLANTATION MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	668.57
555	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #106	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 654,698	668.52
556	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #68	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 188,518	668.47
557	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #8	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 307,696	668.43
558	MANUFACTURING, HARRIS	Contract with SJRA	\$ 230,022,461	668.41
559	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #69	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 148,461	668.39
560	PLANTATION MUD	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 374,615	668.33
561	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	BRA to GCWA contract	\$ -	668.27
562	GULF COAST WATER AUTHORITY	BRA to GCWA contract	\$ -	668.27
563	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #111	City of Sugar Land Groundwater Reduction Plan participation	\$ 252,136	668.23
564	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #36	Contract with NCWA	\$ 550,440	667.88
565	BUNKER HILL VILLAGE	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	666.76
566	COUNTY-OTHER, BRAZORIA	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 2,102,169	666.67
567	FOUNTAINVIEW SUBDIVISION	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 594,472	665.39
568	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #69	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	663.20
569	WILLOW RUN SUBDIVISION	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	662.97
570	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	City of Houston to BRA contract	\$ -	662.93
571	HOUSTON	City of Houston to BRA contract	\$ -	662.93
572	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #46	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	662.84
573	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #151	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	662.83
574	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #5	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	662.78
575	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #345	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	662.66
576	CONSUMERS WATER INC	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 823,058	661.66
577	WEST UNIVERSITY PL.	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 911,842	661.60
578	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 549,865	659.93
579	DICKINSON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	659.91
580	SUNBELT FWSD	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 3,661,924	658.64
581	CONSUMERS WATER INC	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 697,026	658.52
582	MINING, GALVESTON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,357	658.13
583	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #76	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 433,621	658.06
584	COUNTY-OTHER, CHAMBERS	Contract with CLCND	\$ 3,155,158	657.89
585	LEAGUE CITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	657.63
586	KEMAH	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	657.58
587	FIRST COLONY MUD #9	Contract with City of Missouri City	\$ 1,586,729	655.59
588	CLEAR LAKE SHORES	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	655.59
589	OYSTER CREEK	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 253,002	655.10
590	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #23	Contract with City of Missouri City	\$ 1,812,349	653.04
591	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #51	Contract with NCWA	\$ -	652.92
592	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #1	Contract with Baytown Area Water Authority	\$ 900,444	651.48
593	PANORAMA VILLAGE	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 322,717	646.38
594	EAST PLANTATION UD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 285,054	646.29
595	HILSHIRE VILLAGE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 19,456	646.05
596	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #3	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 428,815	645.65
597	STANLEY LAKE MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 699,426	645.58

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
598	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #18	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 3,331,489	645.58
599	SHENANDOAH	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,032,477	645.58
600	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #4	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 671,240	645.57
601	DANBURY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 91,906	645.02
602	MINING, FORT BEND	Contract with BRA	\$ 6,288,364	644.45
603	MAGNOLIA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 225,644	643.75
604	MINING, HARRIS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 3,704,430	643.10
605	IRRIGATION, WALLER	Irrigation conservation	\$ -	642.33
606	RIVERSIDE WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 697,523	642.33
607	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Contract with BRA	\$ 299,533,212	640.43
608	MANVEL	Contract with GCWA	\$ 559,334	639.25
609	SWEENEY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 249,792	639.02
610	TRINITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 4,713	639.00
611	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	BRA to Brazosport Water Authority contract	\$ -	638.67
612	BRAZOSPORT WATER AUTHORITY	BRA to Brazosport Water Authority contract	\$ -	638.67
613	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MONTGOMERY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 3,686,708	636.03
614	IRRIGATION, GALVESTON	Brazoria County interruptible supplies for irrigation	\$ -	635.62
615	MINING, HARRIS	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 3,322,367	635.28
616	OYSTER CREEK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 70,697	633.56
617	GALENA PARK	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 188,211	632.65
618	SUNBELT FWSD	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,072,839	629.75
619	FREEPORT	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 801,151	628.44
620	RICHWOOD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 54,202	627.70
621	MINING, BRAZORIA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 756,326	627.36
622	COUNTY-OTHER, HARRIS	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	626.13
623	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MONTGOMERY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 6,989,246	625.50
624	ROSENBERG	Contract with Cities of Richmond-Rosenberg	\$ -	624.92
625	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #67	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	624.80
626	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 2,170,394	624.70
627	MINING, GALVESTON	Contract with GCWA	\$ 372,927	623.70
628	DOW CHEMICAL USA	DOW off-channel Reservoir	\$ 124,468,000	622.55
629	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HARRIS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 6,116,862	621.74
630	MONTGOMERY	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 2,122,045	621.69
631	ANGLETON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 167,312	620.48
632	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,267,983	620.01
633	MINING, CHAMBERS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,602,345	619.84
634	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #8	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,150,799	619.68
635	CONSOLIDATED WSC	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,357	619.00
636	COUNTY-OTHER, WALKER	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,357	619.00
637	GALENA PARK	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 112,338	618.91
638	SOUTHSIDE PLACE	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 132,307	618.31
639	ROMAN FOREST	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 795,583	617.66
640	MANUFACTURING, HARRIS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 18,771,985	617.37
641	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #1	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 378,303	615.79
642	SOUTHSIDE PLACE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 23,565	615.10
643	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #47	Contract with NCWA	\$ -	614.51
644	HOUSTON	TRA to City of Houston contract	\$ -	613.91
645	MINING, FORT BEND	Contract with GCWA	\$ 7,991,054	612.71
646	LAKE JACKSON	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,225,716	611.92
647	MANUFACTURING, FORT BEND	Contract with BRA	\$ 20,181,897	610.63
648	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 146,209,754	609.80
649	COUNTY-OTHER, FORT BEND	Contract with BRA	\$ 239,698,342	609.49
650	CHIMNEY HILL MUD	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 261,212	607.74
651	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 41,388,791	607.33
652	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #47	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 147,390	605.67
653	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #53	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 409,976	605.48
654	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #36	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 94,262	603.88
655	MONTGOMERY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,682,732	603.87
656	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #8	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 54,201	603.41
657	WEST UNIVERSITY PL.	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 113,113	601.88
658	MINING, HARRIS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 58,914	601.65
659	PINE TRAILS UTILITY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 61,271	600.90
660	COUNTY-OTHER, BRAZORIA	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 4,710,866	600.71

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
661	BEACH CITY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 419,178	599.93
662	BELLAIRE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 245,070	599.06
663	COUNTY-OTHER, BRAZORIA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 6,545,334	598.61
664	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HARRIS	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 59,758,433	598.42
665	SEABROOK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 205,013	598.39
666	HARRIS COUNTY FWSD #6	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 21,209	598.37
667	HUNTERS CREEK VILLAGE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 110,758	598.14
668	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 127,663	597.43
669	LAKE LIVINGSTON WATER SUPPLY & SEWER SERVICE COMPANY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 7,070	597.33
670	MANUFACTURING, MONTGOMERY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 1,099,885	597.33
671	MINING, MONTGOMERY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 259,154	597.33
672	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GALVESTON	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 5,998,882	597.33
673	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #8	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 40,062	596.87
674	PINEY POINT VILLAGE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 40,062	596.22
675	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 8,782,696	596.21
676	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #21	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 21,209	596.21
677	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Contract with GCWA	\$ 94,758,507	595.95
678	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #50	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 25,922	595.79
679	MINING, FORT BEND	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 21,209	595.50
680	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 44,775	595.31
681	IRRIGATION, BRAZORIA	Contract with GCWA	\$ -	594.17
682	DICKINSON	Interim strategies	\$ 1,146,303	593.49
683	COUNTY-OTHER, HARRIS	Contract with SJRA	\$ 34,903,768	593.18
684	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #3	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 992,750	592.06
685	STANLEY LAKE MUD	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,151,624	591.90
686	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #4	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 1,215,621	591.87
687	SIMONTON	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	591.61
688	OLD RIVER-WINFREE	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 381,545	591.59
689	KEMAH	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 402,715	590.61
690	MINING, CHAMBERS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 1,858,825	589.46
691	BAILEY'S PRAIRIE	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	586.99
692	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #18	SJRA Water Resources Assessment Plan participation	\$ 8,059,544	586.12
693	LEAGUE CITY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 6,094,892	585.41
694	CENTRAL HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 191,570	584.91
695	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Contract with BWA - Brackish RO	\$ 1,268,345	584.37
696	CLEAR LAKE SHORES	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 195,566	583.87
697	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	BRA to Cities of Richmond-Rosenberg contract	\$ -	583.55
698	RICHMOND-ROSENBERG	BRA to Cities of Richmond-Rosenberg contract	\$ -	583.55
699	HOUSTON	City of Houston bayous permit	\$ 20,956,000	582.00
700	BRAZOSPORT WATER AUTHORITY	BWA WTP Plant Expansion	\$ 14,359,419	582.00
701	SOUTHSIDE PLACE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 142,789	581.22
702	LIVESTOCK, BRAZORIA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 8,972	581.08
703	HOUSTON	City of Houston indirect reuse	\$ 306,052,884	580.74
704	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ -	580.59
705	NEW CANEY MUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 625,873	580.17
706	FORT BEND COUNTY MUD #25	Contract with BRA	\$ 41,171,973	580.06
707	NORTH FORT BEND WATER AUTHORITY	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 6,796,870	579.59
708	STAGECOACH	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 32,992	578.81
709	MANUFACTURING, BRAZORIA	Contract with Dow	\$ 155,206,615	578.55
710	CRYSTAL SPRNGS WATER COMPANY	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 33,055	578.38
711	SPLENDORA	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 77,765	577.95
712	CUT AND SHOOT	Contract with SJRA	\$ 159,521	577.79
713	PANORAMA VILLAGE	Contract with SJRA	\$ 37,261	577.67
714	MAGNOLIA	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 181,433	577.53
715	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #19	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 188,499	577.49
716	WOODBANCH	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 75,409	577.49
717	OAK RIDGE NORTH	Contract with SJRA	\$ 441,765	577.47
718	SHENANDOAH	Contract with SJRA	\$ 917,145	577.46
719	HITCHCOCK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,357	577.33
720	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #19	Contract with SJRA	\$ -	577.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION H

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
721	PANORAMA VILLAGE	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 268,573	577.33
722	CONROE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	577.33
723	EAST PLANTATION UD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 193,211	577.27
724	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 325,071	577.19
725	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 8,156,834	577.19
726	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #2	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 228,540	577.15
727	H M W SUD	Contract with SJRA	\$ 1,237,343	577.07
728	THE WOODLANDS	Contract with SJRA	\$ -	576.99
729	COUNTY-OTHER, HARRIS	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 1,234,058	576.94
730	MONTGOMERY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 101,329	576.87
731	SOUTHERN MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD	Contract with SJRA	\$ -	576.79
732	RAYFORD ROAD MUD	Contract with SJRA	\$ -	576.62
733	CUT AND SHOOT	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 84,834	576.50
734	PATTON VILLAGE	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 35,348	576.50
735	MANVEL	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 58,914	576.09
736	ROMAN FOREST	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 219,120	576.00
737	THE WOODLANDS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	575.99
738	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #4	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 393,307	575.85
739	STANLEY LAKE MUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 296,825	575.76
740	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #8	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 336,839	575.74
741	SHENANDOAH	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 698,545	575.72
742	SPRING CREEK UD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 214,409	575.65
743	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #18	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 747,724	575.63
744	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #3	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 193,211	575.56
745	WILLIS	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 226,185	575.54
746	RIVER PLANTATION MUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 332,132	575.52
747	POINT AQUARIUS MUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 292,116	575.51
748	OAK RIDGE NORTH	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 270,927	575.43
749	MONTGOMERY COUNTY WCID #1	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 197,922	575.40
750	SPRING CREEK UD	Contract with SJRA	\$ 574,559	575.40
751	RAYFORD ROAD MUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 901,989	574.38
752	PORTER WSC	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 759,427	574.35
753	THE WOODLANDS	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 5,296,115	574.32
754	CONROE	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 4,159,924	574.31
755	H M W SUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 663,391	574.26
756	SOUTHERN MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 740,701	574.21
757	CONROE	Contract with SJRA	\$ 9,663,986	574.17
758	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #18	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 266,435	573.99
759	EAST PLANTATION UD	Contract with SJRA	\$ 544,862	573.98
760	CRYSTAL SPRNGS WATER COMPANY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 242,671	573.20
761	WEST HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 2,221,700	571.97
762	STAGECOACH	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 70,154	570.86
763	MONT BELVIEU	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 1,208,870	569.63
764	POINT AQUARIUS MUD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 509,502	567.87
765	MANUFACTURING, CHAMBERS	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 463,884	566.54
766	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 1,607,119	564.21
767	COUNTY-OTHER, CHAMBERS	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 454,446	563.79
768	COUNTY-OTHER, CHAMBERS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 245,025	560.83
769	MANUFACTURING, HARRIS	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 42,221,489	557.60
770	WILLIS	Contract with SJRA	\$ 521,648	557.56
771	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #3	Contract with SJRA	\$ 319,774	557.32
772	MONTGOMERY COUNTY UD #4	Contract with SJRA	\$ 229,664	557.05
773	STANLEY LAKE MUD	Contract with SJRA	\$ 215,962	556.93
774	MANUFACTURING, HARRIS	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 91,710,759	556.55
775	GULF COAST WATER AUTHORITY	GCWA off-channel reservoir	\$ 197,448,012	555.95
776	MONT BELVIEU	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 477,951	555.88
777	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 23,144	555.08
778	MINING, GALVESTON	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 35,348	554.32
779	CLUTE	Contract with Brazosport Water Authority	\$ 349,878	554.11
780	ORBIT SYSTEMS INC	Contract with BRA	\$ 186,464	553.95
781	BRAZOS RIVER AUTHORITY	Brazos saltwater barrier	\$ 44,470,739	551.67
782	ALVIN	Contract with GCWA	\$ 6,517,726	550.57

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION H**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
783	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #158	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 106,157	550.25
784	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HARRIS	Contract with City of Houston	\$ 74,955,232	548.87
785	IRRIGATION, BRAZORIA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 3,277,008	548.79
786	CRYSTAL SPRNGS WATER COMPANY	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 141,596	547.34
787	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #18	Contract with SJRA	\$ 3,792,506	545.35
788	CROSBY MUD	Municipal conservation - medium water user group	\$ -	538.33
789	CLUTE	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 103,689	537.08
790	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #9	Contract with SJRA	\$ 336,693	536.57
791	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MUD #8	Contract with SJRA	\$ 278,454	536.26
792	HILSHIRE VILLAGE	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ 121,185	534.65
793	PEARLAND	Reallocation of existing supplies	\$ -	533.35
794	CONSUMERS WATER INC	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 89,547	532.52
795	NORTH HARRIS COUNTY REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 4,314,260	531.81
796	SAN JACINTO RIVER AUTHORITY	TRA to SJRA contract	\$ 302,781,597	530.65
797	SOUTHWEST UTILITIES	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 101,329	527.22
798	BEACH CITY	Interim strategies - temporary overdraft	\$ 75,409	525.85
799	GALENA PARK	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 4,713	516.29
800	HARRIS COUNTY WCID #84	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 2,357	514.69
801	COUNTY-OTHER, FORT BEND	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 8,973,765	509.32
802	COUNTY-OTHER, HARRIS	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 11,663,259	508.68
803	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	Contract with SJRA	\$ 21,897,960	505.14
804	COUNTY-OTHER, MONTGOMERY	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 13,460,649	504.92
805	RIVER PLANTATION MUD	Contract with SJRA	\$ 780,338	502.98
806	EAST PLANTATION UD	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ -	500.79
807	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HARRIS	City of Houston indirect reuse	\$ 66,073,816	500.38
808	HARRIS COUNTY MUD #50	Contract with SJRA	\$ -	495.12
809	HOLIDAY LAKES	Municipal conservation - small water user group	\$ -	495.00
810	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, FORT BEND	Contract with NRG Energy	\$ -	492.67
811	COUNTY-OTHER, BRAZORIA	Wastewater reclamation for municipal irrigation	\$ 612,746	489.66
812	HOUSTON	SJRA to City of Houston contract	\$ -	487.24
813	SPLENDORA	Expanded use of groundwater	\$ 35,231	480.29

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION I**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	Wholesale customer conservation	\$ 1,400,000	944.00
2	HOUSTON COUNTY WCID #1	Permit amendment - Houston County Lake	\$ -	908.00
3	NACOGDOCHES	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 2,727,000	902.33
4	JACKSONVILLE	Infrastructure improvements	\$ 1,000,000	894.33
5	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	New source - Lake Columbia	\$ 231,865,000	884.00
6	IRRIGATION, HOUSTON	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 3,205,560	874.33
7	IRRIGATION, SMITH	New wells - Queen City Aquifer	\$ 357,794	874.33
8	MINING, SMITH	New wells - Queen City Aquifer	\$ 655,416	874.33
9	MANUFACTURING, ORANGE	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	872.67
10	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	Saltwater barrier conjunctive operation with Rayburn/Steinhagen	\$ 2,000,000	866.33
11	IRRIGATION, HENDERSON	Indirect reuse	\$ -	862.33
12	LIVESTOCK, HENDERSON	Indirect reuse	\$ -	862.33
13	NACOGDOCHES	Municipal conservation	\$ -	862.33
14	IRRIGATION, HARDIN	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 2,405,001	854.33
15	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	Permit amendment for Sam Rayburn Reservoir	\$ -	854.33
16	MANUFACTURING, ANGELINA	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 26,176,750	854.33
17	COUNTY-OTHER, POLK	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 2,991,138	849.56
18	LIVESTOCK, SABINE	Expand local surface water supplies	\$ 562,700	834.49
19	MANUFACTURING, POLK	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 581,344	834.33
20	MANUFACTURING, SAN AUGUSTINE	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 33,300	834.33
21	MINING, ANDERSON	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 228,730	834.33
22	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, ANGELINA	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 1,724,909	834.33
23	CENTER	Municipal conservation	\$ -	822.33
24	CONSOLIDATED WSC	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	814.33
25	MANUFACTURING, HOUSTON	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	814.33
26	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ 13,647,296	814.33
27	WOODVILLE	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 511,400	814.33
28	MANUFACTURING, NEWTON	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 891,529	812.67
29	LUFKIN	Lake Kurth Regional System	\$ 56,488,600	809.84
30	COUNTY-OTHER, ORANGE	Overdraft Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 432,222	802.33
31	KIRBYVILLE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	802.33
32	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 1,335,649	800.74
33	ATHENS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	799.61
34	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	Angelina-Neches River Authority Treatment and Distribution System	\$ 35,127,250	796.00
35	APPLEBY WSC	Lake Noconiche Regional Supply System	\$ 4,392,350	796.00
36	COUNTY-OTHER, NACOGDOCHES	Lake Noconiche Regional Supply System	\$ 7,320,600	796.00
37	JACKSON WSC	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ -	796.00
38	LILLY GROVE SUD	Lake Noconiche Regional Supply System	\$ 7,320,600	796.00
39	NEW SUMMERFIELD	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	796.00
40	SWIFT WSC	Lake Noconiche Regional Supply System	\$ 5,856,500	796.00
41	WHITEHOUSE	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ -	796.00
42	BULLARD	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 305,674	794.33
43	COUNTY-OTHER, TYLER	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 366,241	794.33
44	IRRIGATION, SAN AUGUSTINE	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 224,690	794.33
45	MANUFACTURING, HARDIN	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 429,542	794.33
46	MANUFACTURING, PANOLA	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	794.33
47	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, ANDERSON	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 24,917,413	794.33
48	ATHENS MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	Indirect reuse	\$ -	793.56
49	LUFKIN	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 14,097,000	791.85
50	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 2,428,400	785.97
51	DIBOLL	New wells - Yegua Jackson Aquifer	\$ 576,576	784.03
52	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 568,710	783.01
53	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	New wells - Yegua Jackson Aquifer	\$ 1,335,649	781.49
54	COUNTY-OTHER, HARDIN	Overdraft Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 556,888	780.67
55	SWIFT WSC	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 498,171	777.64
56	DIBOLL	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 6,195,000	772.67
57	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	Municipal conservation	\$ -	761.26
58	COUNTY-OTHER, JASPER	Overdraft Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 1,369,957	760.67
59	JACKSONVILLE	Purchase water from provider (3)	\$ 19,133,700	754.33
60	MAURICEVILLE SUD	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 550,848	754.33
61	MINING, ANGELINA	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 5,793,150	754.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION I

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
62	NACOGDOCHES	Purchase water from provider (3)	\$ 37,282,050	754.33
63	HUDSON	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	754.33
64	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, NACOGDOCHES	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 10,718,000	752.67
65	COUNTY-OTHER, SABINE	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 328,840	752.67
66	NEW SUMMERFIELD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	752.13
67	COMMUNITY WATER COMPANY	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 1,640,776	747.80
68	COUNTY-OTHER, SHELBY	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 2,278,400	735.47
69	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 9,593,450	734.33
70	D&M WSC	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 492,348	734.33
71	HUDSON WSC	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 3,274,192	734.33
72	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 1,969,392	734.33
73	ATHENS	Indirect reuse	\$ -	720.23
74	FRANKSTON	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 255,951	714.33
75	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, NEWTON	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 12,515,350	714.33
76	RUSK	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 28,435,800	712.67
77	ATHENS MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	Overdraft Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 3,799,000	709.13
78	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	Expand local surface water supplies	\$ 562,700	698.91
79	LIVESTOCK, SABINE	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 226,430	695.05
80	COUNTY-OTHER, NACOGDOCHES	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	692.67
81	DIBOLL	Municipal conservation	\$ -	688.48
82	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	New wells - Queen City Aquifer	\$ 4,420,100	684.78
83	APPLEBY WSC	Municipal conservation	\$ -	682.33
84	MINING, RUSK	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 241,600	674.33
85	TYLER	Lake Palestine infrastructure	\$ 79,389,250	674.33
86	FRANKSTON	Municipal conservation	\$ -	672.89
87	COUNTY-OTHER, ANGELINA	New wells - Yegua Jackson Aquifer	\$ 419,717	667.15
88	COUNTY-OTHER, SHELBY	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 3,024,150	665.67
89	LIVESTOCK, ANGELINA	Expand local surface water supplies	\$ 168,800	662.33
90	LUFKIN	Municipal conservation	\$ -	658.08
91	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	Overdraft Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ -	657.33
92	MANUFACTURING, SMITH	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 1,476,152	654.33
93	MINING , SAN AUGUSTINE	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 8,212,450	644.89
94	MINING , SAN AUGUSTINE	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 2,627,850	637.11
95	MINING , SHELBY	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 1,543,400	631.00
96	MINING, CHEROKEE	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 3,619,300	631.00
97	RUSK	Municipal conservation	\$ -	628.87
98	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 8,937,350	627.09
99	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 609,900	625.88
100	BULLARD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	618.32
101	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 39,168,200	614.33
102	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, NACOGDOCHES	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 2,012,400	611.45
103	LINDALE RURAL WSC	Municipal conservation	\$ -	602.82
104	COUNTY-OTHER, JASPER	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 393,088	601.23
105	COUNTY-OTHER, TRINITY	New wells - Yegua Jackson Aquifer	\$ 249,851	594.33
106	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	Reallocation of flood storage (Rayburn)	\$ -	594.33
107	COUNTY-OTHER, SHELBY	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	591.18
108	MINING , SHELBY	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ 3,847,950	591.00
109	COUNTY-OTHER, ANGELINA	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 10,604,000	574.98
110	NACOGDOCHES	Purchase water from provider (3)	\$ -	574.33
111	UPPER NECHES MWD	Fastrill replacement (Region I component)	\$ -	556.00
112	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	Expand local surface water supplies	\$ 689,600	553.90
113	WHITEHOUSE	Purchase water from provider (3)	\$ -	549.33
114	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 4,763,200	546.82
115	LUFKIN	Angelina County Regional Project	\$ 53,164,000	541.97
116	COUNTY-OTHER, ANDERSON	Overdraft Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 262,189	540.46
117	LILLY GROVE SUD	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 580,504	532.67
118	MANUFACTURING, SHELBY	Purchase water from provider (1)	\$ -	514.33
119	MINING, JEFFERSON	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 103,083	514.33
120	ATHENS MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	Forest Grove Reservoir project	\$ 26,619,000	480.44
121	MANUFACTURING, ORANGE	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ -	449.14
122	BROWNSBORO	Overdraft Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 148,600	442.33
123	COUNTY-OTHER, ANGELINA	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ -	432.37
124	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 8,640,450	428.92

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION I**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
125	COUNTY-OTHER, HARDIN	New wells - Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	426.66
126	FOUR WAY WSC	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 669,192	414.33
127	COUNTY-OTHER, ANDERSON	New wells - Queen City Aquifer	\$ 212,732	412.26
128	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK	Purchase water from provider (2)	\$ 1,318,500	411.94
129	ATHENS MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	New water treatment plant	\$ 12,387,000	346.45
130	LINDALE RURAL WSC	New wells - Carrizo Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 347,259	318.56

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION J**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	CAMP WOOD	Groundwater wells	\$ 247,250	902.33
2	KERRVILLE	Increased water treatment and aquifer storage and recovery capacity	\$ 6,650,000	868.67
3	KERRVILLE	Conservation: system water audit and water loss audit	\$ -	797.50
4	COUNTY-OTHER, REAL	Additional groundwater wells	\$ 189,750	777.33
5	COUNTY-OTHER, REAL	Conservation: system water audit and water loss audit	\$ -	771.67
6	KERRVILLE	Purchase water from UGRA	\$ -	768.67
7	COUNTY-OTHER, KERR	Conservation: brush management	\$ 3,937,790	767.67
8	KERRVILLE	Conservation: public information	\$ -	754.17
9	CAMP WOOD	Conservation: public information	\$ -	731.67
10	COUNTY-OTHER, KERR	Conservation: public information	\$ -	731.67
11	COUNTY-OTHER, EDWARDS	Conservation: public information	\$ -	730.00
12	COUNTY-OTHER, EDWARDS	Replace pressure tank	\$ 7,000	730.00
13	COUNTY-OTHER, EDWARDS	Additional groundwater wells	\$ 50,600	715.67
14	BRACKETTVILLE	Conservation: system water audit and water loss audit	\$ -	702.00
15	COUNTY-OTHER, KERR	Surface water storage	\$ 7,050,000	687.67
16	COUNTY-OTHER, KERR	Surface water acquisition, treatment and aquifer storage and recovery	\$ 17,005,100	683.67
17	BANDERA	Surface water acquisition, treatment and aquifer storage and recovery	\$ 19,654,900	674.00
18	BANDERA	Conservation: public information	\$ -	670.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION K**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	GOFORTH WSC	Water transfer	\$ -	938.33
2	MANUFACTURING, BASTROP	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ -	938.33
3	MOUNTAIN CITY	Drought management	\$ -	938.33
4	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	925.83
5	ROUND ROCK	House Bill 1437 for Williamson County	\$ -	895.83
6	DRIPPING SPRINGS	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	889.17
7	IRRIGATION, FAYETTE	Expansion of Sparta Aquifer	\$ -	888.33
8	COUNTY-OTHER, COLORADO	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	880.33
9	COTTONWOOD SHORES	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	878.33
10	JONESTOWN	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	878.33
11	KINGSLAND WSC	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	878.33
12	MANUFACTURING, FAYETTE	Expansion of Sparta Aquifer	\$ -	875.00
13	IRRIGATION, BASTROP	Expansion of Queen City Aquifer	\$ -	873.33
14	MINING, FAYETTE	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	850.33
15	POLONIA WSC	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ -	850.33
16	IRRIGATION, MATAGORDA	City of Austin return flows	\$ -	848.33
17	MANUFACTURING, HAYS	Drought management	\$ -	845.83
18	IRRIGATION, COLORADO	City of Austin return flows	\$ -	835.83
19	IRRIGATION, WHARTON	City of Austin return flows	\$ -	833.42
20	BEE CAVE VILLAGE	Purchase water from West Travis County Regional Water Supply	\$ -	827.50
21	IRRIGATION, MILLS	Expansion of Trinity Aquifer	\$ -	826.17
22	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	LCRA Water Management Plan interruptible water supply	\$ -	817.00
23	AUSTIN	City of Austin return flows	\$ -	815.00
24	MEADOWLAKES	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	808.33
25	LAKEWAY	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	805.83
26	CIMARRON PARK WATER COMPANY	Drought management	\$ -	805.00
27	AUSTIN	City of Austin direct reuse (steam-electric)	\$ 302,250,510	800.33
28	WEST LAKE HILLS	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	798.33
29	COUNTY-OTHER, LLANO	Municipal conservation	\$ -	796.67
30	LAKE LBJ MUD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	796.67
31	RICHLAND SUD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	796.67
32	AUSTIN	City of Austin direct reuse (municipal and manufacturing)	\$ 302,250,510	782.67
33	MINING, COLORADO	Development of other aquifer	\$ -	781.00
34	WINDERMERE UTILITY COMPANY	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	778.33
35	ROLLINGWOOD	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	777.50
36	IRRIGATION, WHARTON	House Bill 1437 on-farm conservation	\$ 610,863	775.83
37	LIVESTOCK, BURNET	Expansion of Trinity Aquifer	\$ 226,780	774.33
38	LIVESTOCK, COLORADO	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 246,500	774.33
39	LIVESTOCK, FAYETTE	Development of other aquifer	\$ 216,920	774.33
40	LIVESTOCK, LLANO	Expansion of Hickory Aquifer	\$ 611,320	774.33
41	LIVESTOCK, MATAGORDA	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 552,160	774.33
42	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MATAGORDA	City of Austin return flows	\$ -	772.33
43	MINING, BURNET	Expansion of Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer	\$ 6,114,960	765.33
44	AUSTIN	City of Austin conservation	\$ -	760.00
45	COUNTY-OTHER, FAYETTE	Expansion of Sparta Aquifer	\$ -	755.33
46	PFLUGERVILLE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	755.00
47	IRRIGATION, COLORADO	Irrigation district conveyance improvements	\$ -	753.33
48	IRRIGATION, MATAGORDA	Irrigation district conveyance improvements	\$ -	746.67
49	BASTROP	Municipal conservation	\$ -	745.00
50	RIVER PLACE ON LAKE AUSTIN	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	745.00
51	BARTON CREEK WEST WSC	Municipal conservation	\$ -	735.67
52	GOLDTHWAITE	Drought management	\$ -	727.50
53	RIVER PLACE ON LAKE AUSTIN	Municipal conservation	\$ -	726.67
54	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MATAGORDA	Expand supply from South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company Reservoir	\$ -	725.33
55	MINING, BURNET	Expansion of Trinity Aquifer	\$ -	725.33
56	MINING, COLORADO	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	723.67
57	IRRIGATION, WHARTON	Irrigation district conveyance improvements	\$ -	719.17
58	MANVILLE WSC	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	718.33
59	MANOR	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	714.83
60	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Conjunctive use of groundwater - includes overdraft	\$ -	714.17
61	GOLDTHWAITE	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	709.83

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION K**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
62	SCHULENBURG	Municipal conservation	\$ -	709.17
63	CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	707.33
64	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	On-farm conservation	\$ -	705.83
65	MARBLE FALLS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	705.83
66	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MATAGORDA	Blend brackish surface water in South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company Reservoir	\$ -	705.00
67	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Development of new rice varieties	\$ -	704.50
68	LAKEWAY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	702.50
69	MEADOWLAKES	Municipal conservation	\$ -	700.00
70	BERTRAM	Municipal conservation	\$ -	698.33
71	COUNTY-OTHER, BASTROP	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 4,280,640	683.50
72	BEE CAVE VILLAGE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	680.83
73	DRIPPING SPRINGS WSC	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	680.00
74	MINING, BASTROP	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 3,219,360	677.00
75	GOLDTHWAITE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	676.67
76	SMITHVILLE	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 1,041,440	675.33
77	LLANO	Municipal conservation	\$ -	675.00
78	ROUND ROCK	Municipal conservation	\$ -	674.17
79	BRIARCLIFF VILLAGE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	671.67
80	BUDA	Development of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 6,807,200	666.67
81	IRRIGATION, BASTROP	Temporary drought period use of Queen City Aquifer	\$ -	666.67
82	WEST LAKE HILLS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	665.83
83	WHARTON	Municipal conservation	\$ -	663.33
84	ROLLINGWOOD	Municipal conservation	\$ -	661.67
85	CIMARRON PARK WATER COMPANY	Water allocation	\$ -	661.17
86	DRIPPING SPRINGS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	660.83
87	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, BASTROP	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	658.33
88	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, FAYETTE	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	658.33
89	MANOR	Municipal conservation	\$ -	656.67
90	COUNTY-OTHER, HAYS	Development of saline zone of Edwards-Balcones Fault Zone Aquifer	\$ 16,693,491	654.67
91	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MATAGORDA	Water right permit amendment	\$ -	650.67
92	ELGIN	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 2,082,880	648.50
93	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MATAGORDA	New LCRA contracts	\$ -	647.33
94	IRRIGATION, MATAGORDA	Downstream return flows	\$ -	646.25
95	IRRIGATION, COLORADO	Downstream return flows	\$ -	645.33
96	IRRIGATION, WHARTON	Downstream return flows	\$ -	645.33
97	FAYETTE WSC	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 676,480	645.00
98	COUNTY-OTHER, HAYS	Purchase water from City of Austin	\$ 2,280,200	644.17
99	TRAVIS COUNTY WCID #18	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	638.33
100	BARTON CREEK WEST WSC	Purchase water from West Travis County Regional Water Supply	\$ -	636.67
101	IRRIGATION, MILLS	Water allocation	\$ -	634.50
102	AQUA WSC	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 6,248,640	632.50
103	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Firm-up run-of-river with off-channel reservoir - LCRA/SAWS project (Region K Component)	\$ -	630.00
104	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Reuse by Highland Lakes communities	\$ 15,920,000	629.67
105	GOLDTHWAITE	Expansion of Trinity Aquifer	\$ 1,352,960	628.50
106	BASTROP	Expansion of other aquifer	\$ 1,721,920	621.83
107	LLANO	Development of Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer	\$ 3,624,413	619.17
108	WEST TRAVIS COUNTY REGIONAL WS	Municipal conservation	\$ -	613.33
109	AUSTIN	Downstream return flows	\$ -	612.58
110	COUNTY-OTHER, BURNET	Expansion of Trinity Aquifer	\$ 2,029,440	611.17
111	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, MATAGORDA	Downstream return flows	\$ -	603.67
112	COUNTY-OTHER, FAYETTE	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	598.67
113	CIMARRON PARK WATER COMPANY	Development of saline zone of Edwards-Balcones Fault Zone Aquifer	\$ 1,669,349	594.67
114	CIMARRON PARK WATER COMPANY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	594.17
115	LLANO	Development of Hickory Aquifer	\$ 4,697,200	592.50
116	GOLDTHWAITE	Goldthwaite Channel Dam	\$ 1,841,800	582.00
117	ELGIN	Municipal conservation	\$ -	580.00
118	COUNTY-OTHER, MILLS	Expansion of Trinity Aquifer	\$ -	574.33
119	MARBLE FALLS	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	564.17

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION K**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
120	GRANITE SHOALS	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	558.33
121	SMITHVILLE	Municipal conservation	\$ -	558.33
122	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Enhanced municipal and industrial conservation	\$ -	538.83
123	MOUNTAIN CITY	Municipal conservation	\$ -	536.67
124	BRIARCLIFF VILLAGE	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	533.33
125	COUNTY-OTHER, BURNET	Expansion of Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer	\$ 8,367,840	517.83
126	MANUFACTURING, HAYS	Development of Trinity Aquifer	\$ 4,084,198	516.00
127	COUNTY-OTHER, BLANCO	Development of Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer	\$ 1,977,110	514.33
128	MANUFACTURING, FAYETTE	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	513.33
129	IRRIGATION, MATAGORDA	House Bill 1437 on-farm conservation	\$ 3,207,034	512.50
130	MANUFACTURING, WHARTON	Expansion of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	510.33
131	FAYETTE WSC	Development of other aquifer	\$ 2,887,868	509.33
132	MANUFACTURING, MATAGORDA	Temporary drought period use of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ -	498.33
133	LOWER COLORADO RIVER AUTHORITY	Aquifer storage and recovery	\$ 168,711,000	474.83
134	BASTROP COUNTY WCID #2	Expansion of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ -	454.33
135	PFLUGERVILLE	Amend LCRA contract	\$ -	434.17
136	BUDA	Development of saline zone of Edwards-Balcones Fault Zone Aquifer	\$ 1,391,124	421.67
137	COUNTY-OTHER, BASTROP	Additional municipal conservation	\$ -	417.33
138	ELGIN	New LCRA contracts	\$ 17,556,000	412.33
139	AQUA WSC	Additional municipal conservation	\$ -	364.00
140	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, WHARTON	Development of Gulf Coast Aquifer	\$ 164,000	354.33
141	BERTRAM	Expansion of Ellenburger-San Saba Aquifer	\$ -	353.67
142	SCHULENBURG	Expansion of Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	\$ -	352.00
143	COUNTY-OTHER, BASTROP	Development of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	\$ 5,434,871	341.83
144	SMITHVILLE	Drought management	\$ -	304.00
145	ELGIN	Drought management	\$ -	285.67
146	AQUA WSC	Drought management	\$ -	239.00
147	SMITHVILLE	Development of Queen City Aquifer	\$ 4,190,135	206.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase I	\$ -	938.33
2	CANYON REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase I	\$ -	938.33
3	CIBOLO	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase I	\$ -	938.33
4	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase I	\$ -	938.33
5	LA VERNIA	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase I	\$ -	938.33
6	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	902.33
7	CANYON REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ 34,910,000	902.33
8	CIBOLO	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	902.33
9	GREEN VALLEY SUD	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	902.33
10	MARION	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	902.33
11	MARTINDALE WSC	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	902.33
12	SANTA CLARA	CRWA Wells Ranch project Phase II (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	902.33
13	SCHERTZ	Regional Carrizo for SSLGC project expansion (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	864.00
14	SELMA	Regional Carrizo for SSLGC project expansion (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	864.00
15	IRRIGATION, ATASCOSA	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	862.74
16	ALAMO HEIGHTS	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
17	GARDEN RIDGE	Purchase from wholesale water provider (SSLGC)/redistribution of supplies	\$ -	854.33
18	HONDO	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
19	KIRBY	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
20	LACOSTE	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
21	LYTLE	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
22	NATALIA	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
23	POINT COMFORT	Purchase from wholesale water provider (LNRA)/redistribution of supplies	\$ -	854.33
24	SABINAL	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
25	UNIVERSAL CITY	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
26	UVALDE	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
27	WINDCREST	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
28	YANCEY WSC	Edwards transfers	\$ -	854.33
29	MANUFACTURING, COMAL	Recycled water programs	\$ 100,589,128	832.67
30	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, ATASCOSA	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 4,808,000	832.33
31	AQUA WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 1,984,000	824.33
32	KYLE	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ 86,417,021	824.00
33	MAXWELL WSC	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	824.00
34	MOUNTAIN CITY	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ 1,385,554	824.00
35	SAN MARCOS	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ 110,017,629	824.00
36	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	Regional Carrizo for SSLGC project expansion (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	822.33
37	SCHERTZ-SEGUIN LOCAL GOVERNMENT CORPORATION	Regional Carrizo for SSLGC project expansion (including Gonzales County)	\$ 28,189,000	822.33
38	CASTLE HILLS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	822.28
39	GONZALES COUNTY WSC	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	816.00
40	TEXAS WATER ALLIANCE	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ 313,060,000	816.00
41	WIMBERLEY WSC	Wimberley and Woodcreek water supply project	\$ -	816.00
42	WOODCREEK	Wimberley and Woodcreek water supply project	\$ -	816.00
43	WOODCREEK UTILITIES INC	Wimberley and Woodcreek water supply project	\$ -	816.00
44	CASTLE HILLS	Local groundwater (Trinity Aquifer)	\$ -	814.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
45	COUNTY-OTHER, MEDINA	Edwards transfers	\$ -	814.33
46	EAST MEDINA SUD	Edwards transfers	\$ -	814.33
47	HILL COUNTRY VILLAGE	Edwards transfers	\$ -	814.33
48	HOLLYWOOD PARK	Edwards transfers	\$ -	814.33
49	ATASCOSA RURAL WSC	Edwards transfers	\$ -	812.67
50	CASTROVILLE	Edwards transfers	\$ -	812.67
51	PLUM CREEK WATER COMPANY	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	812.00
52	WINDCREST	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	804.44
53	ALAMO HEIGHTS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	793.97
54	MANUFACTURING, BEXAR	Recycled water programs	\$ 196,094,169	792.67
55	SABINAL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	791.64
56	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 44,372,000	790.67
57	IRRIGATION, MEDINA	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	789.33
58	SHAVANO PARK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	788.34
59	JOURDANTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	785.38
60	CANYON REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ 94,758,058	782.33
61	COUNTY LINE WSC	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
62	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
63	EAST CENTRAL WSC	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
64	GOFORTH WSC	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ 15,139,490	782.33
65	GREEN VALLEY SUD	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
66	LA VERNIA	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
67	MARION	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
68	SANTA CLARA	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
69	SS WSC	Hays/Caldwell Public Utility Authority Project (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	782.33
70	MANUFACTURING, CALHOUN	Construction of Lavaca River off-channel reservoir diversion project (Region L component)	\$ 85,429,083	780.67
71	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ 546,941,000	778.33
72	MUSTANG RIDGE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	775.24
73	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	Medina Lake firm-up (aquifer storage and recovery)	\$ 146,237,000	774.33
74	BULVERDE CITY	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	774.33
75	CANYON LAKE WSC	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	774.33
76	CIBOLO	Medina Lake firm-up (aquifer storage and recovery)	\$ -	774.33
77	COUNTY-OTHER, COMAL	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	774.33
78	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	Wimberley and Woodcreek water supply project	\$ 33,771,000	774.33
79	SHAVANO PARK	Edwards transfers	\$ -	774.33
80	SPRINGS HILL WSC	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	774.33
81	WATER SERVICES INC	TWA Regional Carrizo (including Gonzales County)	\$ -	774.33
82	NEW BRAUNFELS	GBRA Simsboro project (overdraft)	\$ -	774.00
83	MINING, COMAL	Industrial, steam-electric power generation, and mining water conservation	\$ -	772.67
84	MINING, HAYS	Industrial, steam-electric power generation, and mining water conservation	\$ -	772.67
85	JOURDANTON	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 2,441,000	772.33
86	MCCOY WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 5,803,000	772.33
87	CANYON REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
88	CIBOLO	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
89	CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
90	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
91	GOFORTH WSC	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
92	GREEN VALLEY SUD	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
93	LOCKHART	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
94	LULING	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
95	MARION	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
96	MUSTANG RIDGE	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
97	NIEDERWALD	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
98	SANTA CLARA	GBRA mid basin (surface water)	\$ -	770.33
99	BOERNE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	769.33
100	FLORESVILLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	769.33
101	KENEDY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	769.33
102	SELMA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	769.33
103	UVALDE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	767.42
104	HONDO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	761.25
105	KARNES CITY	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 3,430,000	752.33
106	COUNTY-OTHER, BEXAR	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	750.25
107	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, VICTORIA	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	749.33
108	WATER SERVICES INC	Edwards transfers	\$ -	745.78
109	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	Edwards transfers	\$ -	744.91
110	CASTROVILLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	744.79
111	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Edwards transfers	\$ -	743.85
112	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	Storage above Canyon Reservoir (aquifer storage and recovery)	\$ 37,326,000	742.33
113	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	GBRA lower basin storage	\$ 33,800,000	741.54
114	MANUFACTURING, VICTORIA	GBRA lower basin storage	\$ -	741.54
115	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, VICTORIA	GBRA lower basin storage	\$ -	741.54
116	MANUFACTURING, BEXAR	Recycled water programs	\$ -	741.01
117	HOLLYWOOD PARK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	737.32
118	HILL COUNTRY VILLAGE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	737.15
119	YANCEY WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	736.49
120	GARDEN RIDGE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	736.06
121	POINT COMFORT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	735.31
122	NEW BRAUNFELS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	734.80
123	CONVERSE	Local groundwater (Trinity Aquifer)	\$ -	734.33
124	COUNTY LINE WSC	Local groundwater (Trinity Aquifer)	\$ 20,562,000	732.67
125	BULVERDE CITY	GBRA Simsboro project (overdraft)	\$ -	732.33
126	CANYON LAKE WSC	GBRA Simsboro project (overdraft)	\$ -	732.33
127	COUNTY-OTHER, COMAL	GBRA Simsboro project (overdraft)	\$ -	732.33
128	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	GBRA Simsboro project (overdraft)	\$ 330,782,000	732.33
129	MANUFACTURING, COMAL	GBRA Simsboro project (overdraft)	\$ -	732.33
130	SS WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 29,537,000	730.67
131	IRRIGATION, ZAVALA	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	730.56
132	SUNKO WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	729.33
133	SCHERTZ	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	723.22
134	WOODCREEK UTILITIES INC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	721.16
135	LULING	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	717.62
136	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	Local groundwater (Trinity Aquifer)	\$ 9,662,000	712.47
137	NATALIA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	712.19
138	COUNTY-OTHER, VICTORIA	GBRA new appropriation (lower basin)	\$ -	712.00
139	MANUFACTURING, VICTORIA	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	709.33
140	SAN MARCOS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	705.44
141	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	GBRA Integrated Water-Power Project	\$ 1,181,020,000	704.67
142	LOCKHART	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 24,246,000	702.67
143	LULING	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 5,906,000	702.67
144	COUNTY LINE WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	702.52
145	SAN ANTONIO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	702.34
146	COUNTY-OTHER, KENDALL	Storage above Canyon Reservoir (aquifer storage and recovery)	\$ -	700.67
147	CANYON REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	CRWA Siesta project	\$ 53,481,000	694.33
148	CIBOLO	CRWA Siesta project	\$ -	694.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
149	GREEN VALLEY SUD	CRWA Siesta project	\$ -	694.33
150	MARION	CRWA Siesta project	\$ -	694.33
151	BENTON CITY WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 4,372,000	692.33
152	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Recycled water programs	\$ 168,655,703	691.32
153	BULVERDE CITY	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	689.33
154	COUNTY-OTHER, KENDALL	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	689.33
155	LIVESTOCK, GOLIAD	Livestock water conservation	\$ -	689.33
156	MANUFACTURING, COMAL	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	689.33
157	MUSTANG RIDGE	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	689.33
158	NIEDERWALD	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	689.33
159	WATER SERVICES INC	Purchase from wholesale water provider (SSLGC)/redistribution of supplies	\$ -	689.02
160	ASHERTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
161	BALCONES HEIGHTS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
162	CARRIZO SPRINGS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
163	CHINA GROVE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
164	CIBOLO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
165	COTULLA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
166	COUNTY-OTHER, GUADALUPE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
167	CRYSTAL CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
168	CUERO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
169	DEVINE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
170	DILLEY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
171	EL OSO WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
172	FAIROAKS RANCH	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
173	FALLS CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
174	GONZALES	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
175	GONZALES COUNTY WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
176	HELOTES	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
177	LA VERNIA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
178	LACKLAND AFB	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
179	NIXON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
180	OLMOS PARK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
181	PEARSALL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
182	PLEASANTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
183	POTEET	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
184	REFUGIO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
185	SEADRIFT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
186	SEGUIN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
187	SOMERSET	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
188	SPRINGS HILL WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
189	STOCKDALE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
190	TERRELL HILLS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
191	VICTORIA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
192	GREEN VALLEY SUD	Purchase from New Braunfels Utilities/redistribution of supplies	\$ -	677.88
193	LYTLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	676.33
194	CANYON REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (RWA)	\$ 97,347,786	674.33
195	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (RWA)	\$ -	674.33
196	GREEN VALLEY SUD	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (RWA)	\$ -	674.33
197	SCHERTZ-SEGUIN LOCAL GOVERNMENT CORPORATION	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (RWA)	\$ 17,374,408	674.33
198	SPRINGS HILL WSC	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (RWA)	\$ 13,030,806	674.33
199	KYLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	672.89
200	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	GBRA Exelon project	\$ 280,598,000	671.67
201	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Drought management	\$ -	670.26
202	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Regional Carrizo for SAWS (including Gonzalas County)	\$ 136,550,000	666.20
203	CHARLOTTE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	666.00
204	COUNTY-OTHER, KARNES	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	666.00
205	COUNTY-OTHER, ZAVALA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	666.00
206	GOLIAD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	666.00
207	YOAKUM	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	666.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
208	MOUNTAIN CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	661.09
209	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (SAWS)	\$ 236,220,000	656.80
210	BOERNE	Western Canyon water treatment plant expansion	\$ -	656.00
211	MINING, BEXAR	Industrial, steam-electric power generation, and mining water conservation	\$ -	652.67
212	EAST CENTRAL WSC	Edwards transfers	\$ -	649.33
213	COUNTY-OTHER, MEDINA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	648.75
214	COUNTY-OTHER, COMAL	Purchase from New Braunfels Utilities/redistribution of supplies	\$ -	647.67
215	COUNTY-OTHER, COMAL	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	647.67
216	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Aquifer storage and recovery project and phased expansion	\$ -	646.87
217	BIG WELLS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
218	COUNTY-OTHER, ATASCOSA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
219	COUNTY-OTHER, CALDWELL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
220	COUNTY-OTHER, GONZALES	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
221	COUNTY-OTHER, LA SALLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
222	ENCINAL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
223	POTH	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
224	RUNGE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
225	WOODSBORO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
226	UNIVERSAL CITY	Drought management	\$ -	629.33
227	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Edwards Aquifer recharge - Type 2 projects	\$ 527,643,000	627.90
228	POINT COMFORT	Drought management	\$ -	625.93
229	EAST CENTRAL WSC	Local groundwater (Trinity Aquifer)	\$ -	623.69
230	SHAVANO PARK	Drought management	\$ -	616.68
231	NIEDERWALD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	615.99
232	COUNTY-OTHER, KENDALL	Western Canyon water treatment plant expansion	\$ -	614.33
233	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	Western Canyon water treatment plant expansion	\$ 11,727,436	614.33
234	UVALDE	Drought management	\$ -	613.99
235	CANYON LAKE WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	613.41
236	MANUFACTURING, BEXAR	Edwards transfers	\$ -	611.36
237	HOLLYWOOD PARK	Drought management	\$ -	610.91
238	HILL COUNTRY VILLAGE	Drought management	\$ -	610.79
239	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Firm-up- run-of-river with off-channel reservoir - LCRA/SAWS project (Region L component)	\$ 1,986,684,000	609.01
240	COUNTY LINE WSC	Drought management	\$ -	606.00
241	CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	Purchase from wholesale water provider (GBRA)	\$ -	606.00
242	NEW BRAUNFELS	Drought management	\$ -	606.00
243	YORKTOWN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	606.00
244	LYTLE	Drought management	\$ -	600.18
245	KIRBY	Drought management	\$ -	598.44
246	GARDEN RIDGE	Drought management	\$ -	595.08
247	LACOSTE	Drought management	\$ -	595.06
248	COUNTY-OTHER, BEXAR	Edwards transfers	\$ -	594.33
249	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 33,754,000	590.67
250	ATASCOSA RURAL WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ -	590.53
251	WATER SERVICES INC	Drought management	\$ -	590.39
252	WOODCREEK	Drought management	\$ -	589.48
253	GUADALUPE BLANCO RIVER AUTHORITY	GBRA new appropriation (lower basin)	\$ 246,849,000	587.00
254	LULING	Drought management	\$ -	586.00
255	MARTINDALE	Drought management	\$ -	586.00
256	LOCKHART	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	579.77
257	BULVERDE CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	575.29
258	SS WSC	Drought management	\$ -	575.15
259	KENEDY	Local groundwater (Gulf Coast Aquifer)	\$ 2,194,000	574.33
260	COUNTY-OTHER, WILSON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	569.33
261	MARTINDALE WSC	Drought management	\$ -	563.86
262	SABINAL	Drought management	\$ -	559.12
263	WOODCREEK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	555.00
264	FLORESVILLE	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 2,344,000	544.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
265	CASTLE HILLS	Drought management	\$ -	541.59
266	SANTA CLARA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	536.52
267	JOURDANTON	Drought management	\$ -	535.76
268	MUSTANG RIDGE	Drought management	\$ -	532.32
269	HONDO	Drought management	\$ -	529.25
270	AQUA WSC	Drought management	\$ -	528.11
271	COUNTY-OTHER, HAYS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	526.00
272	EAST MEDINA SUD	Drought management	\$ -	526.00
273	KYLE	Drought management	\$ -	526.00
274	OAK HILLS WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	524.03
275	WIMBERLEY WSC	Drought management	\$ -	520.84
276	ALAMO HEIGHTS	Drought management	\$ -	520.64
277	SANTA CLARA	Drought management	\$ -	518.06
278	NIEDERWALD	Drought management	\$ -	516.06
279	CASTROVILLE	Drought management	\$ -	515.64
280	NATALIA	Drought management	\$ -	513.30
281	ATASCOSA RURAL WSC	Drought management	\$ -	513.17
282	BULVERDE CITY	Drought management	\$ -	512.76
283	SAN ANTONIO	Drought management	\$ -	507.32
284	CHARLOTTE	Drought management	\$ -	506.00
285	LOCKHART	Drought management	\$ -	506.00
286	POLONIA WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 2,087,000	504.33
287	WATER SERVICES INC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	491.27
288	SS WSC	Brackish groundwater desalination (Wilcox Aquifer) (SS WSC)	\$ 14,357,000	475.12
289	COUNTY-OTHER, UVALDE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	466.00
290	WAEELDER	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	446.00
291	UNIVERSAL CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	433.09
292	CHARLOTTE	Facilities expansion	\$ 38,356,000	427.67
293	COUNTY-OTHER, CALDWELL	Facilities expansion	\$ 17,584,000	427.67
294	HELOTES	Facilities expansion	\$ 2,863,000	427.67
295	BENTON CITY WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	424.79
296	OAK HILLS WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 259,000	424.33
297	SUNKO WSC	Local groundwater Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (includes overdrafts)	\$ 1,375,000	424.33
298	MCCOY WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	421.72
299	CONVERSE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	417.90
300	COUNTY-OTHER, KENDALL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	414.49
301	CRYSTAL CLEAR WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	413.42
302	SS WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	413.37
303	WIMBERLEY WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	411.48
304	ELMENDORF	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	406.00
305	PORT LAVACA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	406.00
306	PORT O'CONNOR MUD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	406.00
307	EAST MEDINA SUD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	399.15
308	EAST CENTRAL WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	398.92
309	AQUA WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	392.04
310	ATASCOSA RURAL WSC	Facilities expansion	\$ 72,433,000	386.00
311	CASTROVILLE	Facilities expansion	\$ 11,046,000	386.00
312	SPRINGS HILL WSC	Facilities expansion	\$ 2,277,000	386.00
313	MARION	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	381.83
314	PLUM CREEK WATER COMPANY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	375.05
315	MAXWELL WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	374.58
316	LACOSTE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	373.65
317	GOFORTH WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	372.06
318	SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	Seawater desalination	\$ 1,293,827,000	360.12
319	COUNTY-OTHER, VICTORIA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	343.20
320	BEXAR MET WATER DISTRICT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	332.94
321	COUNTY-OTHER, COMAL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	330.79
322	COUNTY-OTHER, FRIO	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	326.00
323	LEON VALLEY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	326.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION L**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
324	ST. HEDWIG	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	326.00
325	KARNES CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	313.00
326	ATASCOSA RURAL WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	309.01
327	GREEN VALLEY SUD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	291.21
328	COUNTY-OTHER, DEWITT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	286.00
329	COUNTY-OTHER, GOLIAD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	286.00
330	CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	286.00

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION M

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	COUNTY-OTHER, ZAPATA	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 29,210	906.33
2	WEBB COUNTY WATER UTILITY	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 2,466,125	858.82
3	COUNTY-OTHER, JIM HOGG	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 73,597	839.86
4	INDIAN LAKE	Brackish water desalination	\$ 235,291	838.33
5	IRRIGATION, HIDALGO	Irrigation conveyance system conservation	\$ 71,777,565	822.51
6	PALM VALLEY	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,064,064	821.58
7	RIO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 3,551,053	816.65
8	SOUTH PADRE ISLAND	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 15,727,282	816.38
9	SAN JUAN	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 30,511,511	816.31
10	EL JARDIN	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 9,889,535	816.19
11	HIDALGO COUNTY MUD #1	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 20,450,891	812.76
12	SHARYLAND WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 13,219,429	810.11
13	COUNTY-OTHER, WEBB	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 3,647,027	803.61
14	HIDALGO	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 742,763	803.52
15	PALM VALLEY ESTATES UD	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 312,960	800.63
16	EDCOUCH	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,502,208	799.80
17	MILITARY HIGHWAY WSC	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 1,415,326	799.51
18	MANUFACTURING, CAMERON	Non-potable reuse	\$ 10,510,924	796.85
19	MCALLEN	Non-potable reuse	\$ 38,212,973	796.71
20	BROWNSVILLE	Brownsville weir and reservoir	\$ 98,411,077	790.16
21	ROMA CITY	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 8,207,897	785.45
22	IRRIGATION, HIDALGO	On-farm water conservation	\$ 115,491,102	784.52
23	MANUFACTURING, WILLACY	Non-potable reuse	\$ 56,208	782.00
24	IRRIGATION, CAMERON	Irrigation conveyance system conservation	\$ 39,543,934	780.51
25	SOUTHMOST REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY	Brackish water desalination	\$ 788,992	776.67
26	RIO GRANDE CITY	Brackish water desalination	\$ 5,507,279	774.71
27	OLMITO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 7,189,734	770.27
28	EAST RIO HONDO WSC	Brackish water desalination	\$ 3,330,838	766.72
29	IRRIGATION, WILLACY	Irrigation conveyance system conservation	\$ 5,638,652	764.88
30	MISSION	Non-potable reuse	\$ 19,938,904	764.49
31	ALTON	Advanced water conservation	\$ 3,857,956	761.33
32	BROWNSVILLE	Brackish water desalination	\$ 62,973,417	760.01
33	ALAMO	Non-potable reuse	\$ 1,873,605	759.44
34	LOS FRESNOS	Brackish water desalination	\$ 3,665,392	758.33
35	LA GRULLA	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,268,531	755.49
36	LAGUNA MADRE WD	Brackish water desalination	\$ 7,581,934	755.00
37	IRRIGATION, MAVERICK	Irrigation conveyance system conservation	\$ 13,797,827	754.23
38	WESLACO	Potable reuse	\$ 7,519,850	750.39
39	COUNTY-OTHER, MAVERICK	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 7,565,286	749.21
40	COUNTY-OTHER, STARR	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 65,095,675	745.90
41	EDCOUCH	Advanced water conservation	\$ 107,433	745.18
42	COUNTY-OTHER, STARR	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 4,404,494	744.64
43	COUNTY-OTHER, WEBB	Advanced water conservation	\$ 364,309	740.72
44	PORT ISABEL	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 5,796,019	737.14
45	MANUFACTURING, CAMERON	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 1,132,261	735.29
46	NORTH ALAMO WSC	Brackish water desalination	\$ 84,925,241	735.00
47	SAN PERLITA	Brackish water desalination	\$ 91,911	735.00
48	PRIMERA	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 190,220	734.28
49	LAREDO	Non-potable reuse	\$ 45,427,426	732.73
50	IRRIGATION, CAMERON	On-farm water conservation	\$ 54,946,207	731.26
51	LAREDO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 208,068,312	731.01
52	EL CENIZO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 6,159,052	729.61
53	RIO BRAVO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 9,413,836	728.43
54	MILITARY HIGHWAY WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 80,575	726.32
55	IRRIGATION, MAVERICK	On-farm water conservation	\$ 11,455,561	726.31
56	MISSION	Acquisition of water rights through urbanization	\$ 41,486,821	726.28
57	LA GRULLA	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 207,204	725.43
58	IRRIGATION, WILLACY	On-farm water conservation	\$ 6,924,367	719.00
59	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HIDALGO	Non-potable reuse	\$ 37,472,099	718.97
60	MCALLEN	Brackish water desalination	\$ 32,429,710	716.62
61	PRIMERA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 103,301	715.35
62	LAGUNA MADRE WD	Non-potable reuse	\$ 93,680	714.21
63	PORT ISABEL	Brackish water desalination	\$ 5,378,604	713.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION M

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
64	LAREDO	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 8,965,239	711.22
65	MANUFACTURING, WILLACY	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 41,728	704.67
66	UNITED IRRIGATION DISTRICT	On-farm water conservation	\$ 152,028	703.67
67	PRIMERA	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,414,579	703.40
68	PHARR	Non-potable reuse	\$ 187,361	702.33
69	ELSA	Proposed elevated storage tank and infrastructure improvements for City of Elsa	\$ 8,325,386	702.00
70	LA JOYA	Brackish water desalination	\$ 441,171	698.12
71	HIDALGO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 161,839	692.15
72	PHARR	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 283,065	691.64
73	LA GRULLA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 44,075	691.00
74	ALAMO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,965,389	689.81
75	MILITARY HIGHWAY WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 6,213,299	681.90
76	IRRIGATION, STARR	On-farm water conservation	\$ 5,600,456	681.74
77	PRIMERA	Brackish water desalination	\$ 415,436	681.35
78	DONNA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 81,264	681.33
79	PENITAS	Advanced water conservation	\$ 11,019	681.33
80	RIO HONDO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 834,560	679.67
81	MCALLEN	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 30,649,214	672.48
82	COUNTY-OTHER, HIDALGO	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 4,969,492	666.65
83	NORTH ALAMO WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 2,787,755	666.51
84	BROWNSVILLE	Non-potable reuse	\$ 1,873,605	665.23
85	RIO GRANDE CITY	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 588,365	664.64
86	UNITED IRRIGATION DISTRICT	Irrigation conveyance system conservation	\$ 1,141,825	663.67
87	PHARR	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 37,117,053	661.55
88	MCALLEN	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 1,747,078	660.82
89	COUNTY-OTHER, HIDALGO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 32,906,698	660.01
90	LAREDO	Brackish water desalination	\$ 37,131,853	658.73
91	LAGUNA MADRE WD	Seawater desalination	\$ 20,330,672	658.30
92	WEBB COUNTY WATER UTILITY	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 111,420	657.85
93	COUNTY-OTHER, MAVERICK	Advanced water conservation	\$ 148,754	655.12
94	VALLEY MUD #2	Brackish water desalination	\$ 1,019,770	655.00
95	ALAMO	Acquisition of water rights through urbanization	\$ 7,189,497	653.30
96	WEBB COUNTY WATER UTILITY	Advanced water conservation	\$ 19,972	651.42
97	MCALLEN	Advanced water conservation	\$ 2,357,334	649.35
98	SAN BENITO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 3,292,339	648.87
99	SEBASTIAN MUD	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 367,206	647.14
100	OLMITO WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 90,216	645.69
101	SHARYLAND WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 159,084	645.44
102	HARLINGEN	Brackish water desalination	\$ 7,072,621	645.05
103	MANUFACTURING, CAMERON	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 417,280	644.53
104	MISSION	Brackish water desalination	\$ 2,058,796	644.12
105	LAGUNA MADRE WD	Advanced water conservation	\$ 112,943	643.20
106	MISSION	Advanced water conservation	\$ 1,470,321	641.78
107	BROWNSVILLE	Resaca restoration	\$ 52,000,000	640.25
108	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, HIDALGO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 21,627,621	639.69
109	HEBBRONVILLE	Advanced water conservation	\$ 4,132	639.67
110	EL INDIO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ -	639.67
111	VALLEY MUD #2	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,122,483	638.86
112	WESLACO	Brackish water desalination	\$ 1,286,747	637.70
113	ALAMO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 154,952	637.55
114	ROMA CITY	Advanced water conservation	\$ 82,641	633.85
115	PALM VALLEY	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 45,264	631.46
116	LA FERIA	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 11,323	630.00
117	LA GRULLA	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 355,152	628.75
118	RIO GRANDE CITY	Non-potable reuse	\$ 468,401	628.23
119	HARLINGEN	Advanced water conservation	\$ 666,637	624.53
120	ALAMO	Brackish water desalination	\$ 4,794,053	623.64
121	PALM VALLEY ESTATES UD	Advanced water conservation	\$ 2,755	623.11
122	SAN JUAN	Advanced water conservation	\$ 524,770	622.81
123	WESLACO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 721,731	621.06
124	LAGUNA VISTA	Seawater desalination	\$ 588,272	619.67
125	HIDALGO COUNTY MUD #1	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 954,036	617.19

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION M

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
126	RIO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 156,685	616.50
127	SULLIVAN CITY	Advanced water conservation	\$ 62,669	616.45
128	SOUTH PADRE ISLAND	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 689,413	616.38
129	SAN JUAN	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 1,340,525	616.35
130	EL JARDIN	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 435,235	616.21
131	RIO GRANDE CITY	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 130,210	615.82
132	SAN BENITO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 112,943	614.45
133	LA JOYA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 50,273	614.09
134	EAGLE PASS	Brackish water desalination	\$ 2,430,010	613.33
135	PORT ISABEL	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 254,177	612.15
136	INDIAN LAKE	Advanced water conservation	\$ 4,821	611.74
137	PALMHURST	Advanced water conservation	\$ 174,923	610.96
138	SEBASTIAN MUD	Advanced water conservation	\$ 9,641	610.54
139	LAREDO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 3,861,409	610.35
140	DONNA	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 28,307	610.23
141	SHARYLAND WSC	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 581,475	610.10
142	MERCEDES	Brackish water desalination	\$ 2,058,796	610.00
143	PALMVIEW	Advanced water conservation	\$ 88,150	609.77
144	EDINBURG	Advanced water conservation	\$ 755,476	608.65
145	LAREDO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 2,411,739	608.63
146	RIO GRANDE CITY	Advanced water conservation	\$ 106,745	608.32
147	LOS FRESNOS	Advanced water conservation	\$ 69,556	607.50
148	EL JARDIN	Advanced water conservation	\$ 81,952	607.08
149	EL CENIZO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 99,169	605.72
150	RIO WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 28,236	604.69
151	PHARR	Advanced water conservation	\$ 649,420	604.19
152	COUNTY-OTHER, ZAPATA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 58,537	603.95
153	COUNTY-OTHER, HIDALGO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 981,362	603.94
154	BROWNSVILLE	Advanced water conservation	\$ 1,488,915	603.93
155	RIO BRAVO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 115,009	603.86
156	PHARR	Acquisition of water rights through urbanization	\$ 6,857,411	602.15
157	COUNTY-OTHER, STARR	Advanced water conservation	\$ 296,130	602.13
158	EDINBURG	Non-potable reuse	\$ 14,988,840	600.85
159	HIDALGO COUNTY MUD #1	Advanced water conservation	\$ 77,132	600.80
160	SOUTH PADRE ISLAND	Advanced water conservation	\$ 25,481	599.44
161	PORT ISABEL	Advanced water conservation	\$ 13,773	598.70
162	COMBES	Advanced water conservation	\$ 17,217	598.00
163	COUNTY-OTHER, CAMERON	Advanced water conservation	\$ 201,782	598.00
164	EAGLE PASS	Advanced water conservation	\$ 37,877	598.00
165	EL INDIO WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 48,207	598.00
166	ELSA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 11,707	598.00
167	LA FERIA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 53,028	598.00
168	LAGUNA VISTA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 16,528	598.00
169	LOS INDIOS	Advanced water conservation	\$ 8,953	598.00
170	LYFORD	Advanced water conservation	\$ 2,755	598.00
171	MERCEDES	Advanced water conservation	\$ 36,500	598.00
172	PROGRESO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 61,292	598.00
173	RANCHO VIEJO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 4,132	598.00
174	RAYMONDVILLE	Advanced water conservation	\$ 7,575	598.00
175	RIO HONDO	Advanced water conservation	\$ 6,887	598.00
176	SANTA ROSA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 20,660	598.00
177	COUNTY-OTHER, JIM HOGG	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 9,288,652	594.81
178	LYFORD	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 417,280	591.67
179	MERCEDES	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 634,066	590.00
180	VALLEY MUD #2	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 59,192	584.65
181	PALM VALLEY	Advanced water conservation	\$ 689	580.52
182	EAST RIO HONDO WSC	Advanced water conservation	\$ 167,348	579.80
183	PRIMERA	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 295,960	572.30
184	COMBES	Brackish water desalination	\$ 91,911	571.67
185	RAYMONDVILLE	Brackish water desalination	\$ 367,642	571.67
186	BROWNSVILLE	Banco Morales Reservoir	\$ 25,790,900	571.54
187	DONNA	Brackish water desalination	\$ 183,821	570.45
188	OLMITO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 316,851	570.04

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION M**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
189	PALMHURST	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 6,472,012	568.26
190	MCALLEN	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 1,504,174	565.10
191	LAGUNA MADRE WD	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 3,755,520	558.12
192	EDINBURG	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 27,619,761	554.29
193	LA FERIA	Brackish water desalination	\$ 661,756	550.00
194	LA FERIA	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 417,280	550.00
195	SAN PERLITA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 1,377	545.78
196	MILITARY HIGHWAY WSC	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 271,587	545.33
197	BROWNSVILLE	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 1,132,261	536.45
198	PALM VALLEY ESTATES UD	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 13,928	536.18
199	ELSA	Brackish water desalination	\$ 367,642	530.00
200	EL CENIZO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 271,587	528.49
201	RIO BRAVO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 414,344	528.27
202	PHARR	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 1,928,963	527.87
203	ROMA CITY	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 306,406	526.77
204	BROWNSVILLE	Seawater desalination	\$ 165,021,993	522.19
205	ALAMO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 83,565	521.00
206	COUNTY-OTHER, JIM HOGG	Advanced water conservation	\$ 689	500.30
207	LA VILLA	Advanced water conservation	\$ 689	498.00
208	BROWNSVILLE	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 8,024,294	495.99
209	LA JOYA	Acquisition of water rights through urbanization	\$ 633,360	493.43
210	PALMVIEW	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 3,588,608	488.33
211	SULLIVAN CITY	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 1,627,392	487.76
212	LAGUNA MADRE WD	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 174,094	483.04
213	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, CAMERON	Non-potable reuse	\$ 1,498,884	477.39
214	WESLACO	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 1,017,902	475.20
215	HIDALGO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 4,060,134	472.80
216	LAREDO	Laredo low water weir	\$ 294,400,000	452.00
217	SEBASTIAN MUD	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 17,409	449.53
218	SAN BENITO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 146,239	448.60
219	MANUFACTURING, HIDALGO	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 226,452	430.74
220	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, WEBB	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ -	428.30
221	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, CAMERON	Expand existing groundwater wells	\$ 163,046	421.28
222	HARLINGEN	Non-potable reuse	\$ 93,680	405.86
223	BROWNSVILLE	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 449,163	400.40
224	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, WEBB	Non-potable reuse	\$ 1,498,884	390.36
225	EAST RIO HONDO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 396,416	388.12
226	HARLINGEN	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 521,600	379.63
227	MANUFACTURING, HIDALGO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 809,523	375.19
228	PALMHURST	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 285,514	368.41
229	HIDALGO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 177,576	363.28
230	MANUFACTURING, HIDALGO	Non-potable reuse	\$ 749,442	342.74
231	ELSA	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 208,640	310.00
232	NORTH ALAMO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 3,763,865	303.63
233	EAST RIO HONDO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 17,409	303.59
234	SULLIVAN CITY	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 73,120	288.49
235	PALMVIEW	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 156,685	288.24
236	WESLACO	Acquisition of water rights through purchase	\$ 417,280	254.40
237	NORTH ALAMO WSC	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 167,130	242.30
238	WESLACO	Acquisition of water rights through contract	\$ 348,188	204.40

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION N**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	MANUFACTURING, ARANSAS	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 257,000	894.33
2	CORPUS CHRISTI	O.N. Stevens Water Treatment Plant improvements	\$ 31,324,000	893.59
3	COUNTY-OTHER, JIM WELLS	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 980,000	874.33
4	IRRIGATION, LIVE OAK	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 1,058,000	874.33
5	COUNTY-OTHER, KLEBERG	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 587,000	854.33
6	MANUFACTURING, LIVE OAK	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	834.33
7	RIVER ACRES WSC	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	834.33
8	MINING, LIVE OAK	Mining water conservation	\$ -	827.37
9	CORPUS CHRISTI	Garwood Pipeline	\$ 112,798,000	788.66
10	LAKE CITY	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 343,000	774.33
11	IRRIGATION, LIVE OAK	Irrigation water conservation	\$ -	764.67
12	IRRIGATION, SAN PATRICIO	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 8,110,000	754.33
13	MINING, DUVAL	Mining water conservation	\$ -	738.47
14	ALICE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	727.67
15	CORPUS CHRISTI	Seawater Desalination	\$ 260,914,000	725.93
16	MINING, NUECES	Mining water conservation	\$ -	719.29
17	MANUFACTURING, NUECES	Manufacturing water conservation	\$ -	710.32
18	GEORGE WEST	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	707.67
19	COUNTY-OTHER, LIVE OAK	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 315,000	691.00
20	PORT ARANSAS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	687.67
21	FALFURRIAS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
22	PREMONT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	686.00
23	CORPUS CHRISTI	Reclaimed wastewater supplies	\$ -	684.05
24	ORANGE GROVE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	666.00
25	SAN PATRICIO MWD	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies (regional)	\$ 59,245,000	652.67
26	COUNTY-OTHER, NUECES	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	651.00
27	COUNTY-OTHER, DUVAL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
28	COUNTY-OTHER, MCMULLEN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
29	THREE RIVERS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
30	CORPUS CHRISTI	Off-channel reservoir near Lake Corpus Christi	\$ 300,577,000	637.51
31	IRRIGATION, BEE	Gulf Coast Aquifer Supplies	\$ 1,763,000	594.33
32	NUECES COUNTY WCID #4	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	567.67
33	COUNTY-OTHER, ARANSAS	Voluntary redistribution	\$ -	524.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans

REGION O

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	IRRIGATION, CROSBY	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 19,030,000	846.67
2	IRRIGATION, LYNN	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 8,410,000	846.67
3	MORTON	Local groundwater development	\$ 1,185,162	840.33
4	LUBBOCK	Lake Alan Henry Pipeline for the City of Lubbock	\$ 294,329,000	833.92
5	IRRIGATION, GARZA	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 3,190,000	826.17
6	SHALLOWATER	Local groundwater development	\$ 479,941	824.33
7	TULIA	Local groundwater development	\$ 1,406,624	824.33
8	WHITE RIVER MWD	Local groundwater development	\$ 1,063,625	811.00
9	ABERNATHY	Local groundwater development	\$ 699,732	809.67
10	SUNDOWN	Local groundwater development	\$ 948,479	794.33
11	IRRIGATION, SWISHER	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 37,880,000	787.33
12	ANTON	Local groundwater development	\$ 1,145,246	784.33
13	WILSON	Local groundwater development	\$ 349,252	784.33
14	IRRIGATION, MOTLEY	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 640,000	777.92
15	IRRIGATION, HALE	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 30,570,000	772.33
16	IRRIGATION, LUBBOCK	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 35,280,000	771.58
17	DENVER CITY	Local groundwater development	\$ 786,894	758.92
18	IRRIGATION, DICKENS	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 1,300,000	758.58
19	LUBBOCK	Lubbock Jim Bertram Lake 7	\$ 68,288,400	757.83
20	LUBBOCK	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	752.58
21	LORENZO	Local groundwater development	\$ 349,250	744.33
22	PLAINS	Local groundwater development	\$ 1,186,082	744.33
23	SILVERTON	Local groundwater development	\$ 6,171,850	744.33
24	IRRIGATION, YOAKUM	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 7,510,000	744.17
25	IRRIGATION, FLOYD	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 32,220,000	743.00
26	IRRIGATION, COCHRAN	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 14,580,000	735.92
27	FRIONA	Local groundwater development	\$ 524,596	734.33
28	IRRIGATION, HOCKLEY	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 20,230,000	733.67
29	BROWNFIELD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	733.33
30	FARWELL	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	721.50
31	LUBBOCK	Post Reservoir - Delivered to Lake Alan Henry Pipeline	\$ 110,307,000	718.33
32	IRRIGATION, CASTRO	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 30,490,000	710.01
33	IRRIGATION, BAILEY	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 13,444,000	710.00
34	IRRIGATION, DEAF SMITH	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 30,470,000	709.08
35	IRRIGATION, LAMB	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 20,520,000	706.25
36	IDALOU	Local groundwater development	\$ 770,132	704.33
37	IRRIGATION, BRISCOE	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 4,730,000	702.25
38	IRRIGATION, TERRY	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 9,580,000	702.03
39	IRRIGATION, GAINES	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 7,580,000	696.70
40	IRRIGATION, PARMER	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 13,790,000	692.55
41	IRRIGATION, DAWSON	Irrigation water conservation	\$ 4,380,000	689.39
42	ROPESVILLE	Local groundwater development	\$ 349,252	684.33
43	COUNTY-OTHER, GARZA	Lake Alan Henry Supply for Lake Alan Henry Water Supply Corporation	\$ 7,334,502	677.33
44	LOCKNEY	Local groundwater development	\$ 388,302	664.33
45	PLAINS	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	663.83
46	SPUR	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	661.58
47	CANADIAN RIVER MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	CRMWA Region O local groundwater development	\$ 56,574,000	661.17
48	DENVER CITY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	658.92
49	HEREFORD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
50	LAMESA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
51	LITTLEFIELD	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
52	MATADOR	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
53	RANSOM CANYON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
54	SEMINOLE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	646.00
55	DIMMITT	Local groundwater development	\$ 786,894	631.00
56	LUBBOCK	Lubbock brackish groundwater desalination	\$ 13,167,000	625.00
57	EARTH	Local groundwater development	\$ 786,325	624.33
58	WHITE RIVER MWD	Reclaimed water - White River Municipal Water District	\$ 38,089,684	619.00
59	FARWELL	Local groundwater development	\$ 163,152	614.33
60	LUBBOCK	Lubbock North Fork diversion operation (A)	\$ 153,040,000	612.42
61	SMYER	Local groundwater development	\$ 249,976	604.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION O**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
62	DIMMITT	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	603.31
63	MORTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	601.38
64	EARTH	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	601.13
65	ABERNATHY	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	601.04
66	ANTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	600.43
67	AMHERST	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	600.00
68	OLTON	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	600.00
69	SUDAN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	600.00
70	PETERSBURG	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	599.82
71	SUNDOWN	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	598.90
72	FRIONA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	596.00
73	MULESHOE	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	596.00
74	TULIA	Municipal water conservation	\$ -	549.60
75	NEW DEAL	Local groundwater development	\$ 547,803	547.67
76	WOLFFORTH	Local groundwater development	\$ 255,698	474.33
77	PETERSBURG	Local groundwater development	\$ 334,846	454.33
78	HART	Local groundwater development	\$ 509,256	414.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.

**Final Prioritizations of Recommended Water Management Strategies in the 2011 Regional Water Plans
REGION P**

Unique ID #	Sponsor	Recommended Water Management Strategy (WMS) Name	Capital Cost (\$)	Final Prioritization Score (max = 1,000)
1	IRRIGATION, JACKSON	Conjunctive use of groundwater (temporary overdraft) - Jackson County	\$ -	878.33
2	IRRIGATION, WHARTON	Conjunctive use of groundwater (temporary overdraft) - Wharton County	\$ -	878.33

*Prioritizations are based on Uniform Standards developed and adopted by the HB4 Stakeholder Committee.

**Projects are ordered from highest to lowest score.